



Goldman Sachs Group UK Limited

Pillar 3 Disclosures

For the period ended May 31, 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Introduction	3
Capital Framework	7
Regulatory Capital	8
Minimum Requirement for Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities (MREL)	9
Risk-Weighted Assets.....	11
Credit Risk	13
Market Risk.....	23
Interest Rate Sensitivity.....	29
Operational Risk	30
Leverage Ratio	32
Capital Adequacy.....	35
Own Funds Template	36
Capital and MREL Instruments.....	37
Liquidity Risk Management	41
Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements	41
Glossary.....	42
Appendix I: Credit Risk Tables	44
Appendix II: Counterparty Credit Risk Tables	63
Appendix III: Past Due Exposures, Impaired Exposures and Impairment Provisions Tables	68
Appendix IV: Index of Tables to EBA Templates	69

INDEX OF TABLES

	Page No.
Table 1: Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratios	7
Table 2: Regulatory Capital Ratios	8
Table 3: Regulatory Capital Resources	8
Table 4: Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities	9
Table 5: Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities Composition	9
Table 6: Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities Creditor Ranking	10
Table 7: Overview of RWAs ¹	11
Table 8: Analysis of CCR Exposure by Approach	16
Table 9: Exposures to CCPs	17
Table 10: CVA VaR Capital Charge	17
Table 11: RWA Flow Statements of CCR Exposures under the IMM	17
Table 12: RWA Flow Statements of Credit Risk Exposures under the IRB Approach	18
Table 13: CRM Techniques	19
Table 14: IRB Approach - Effect on the RWAs of Credit Derivatives Used as CRM Techniques	20
Table 15: Credit Derivatives Exposures	20
Table 16: IMA Values for Trading Portfolios	25
Table 17: Market Risk under the IMA	25
Table 18: RWA Flow Statements of Market Risk Exposures under the IMA	26
Table 19: Comparison of VaR estimates with gains/losses	27
Table 20: Market Risk under the Standardised Approach	28
Table 21: Operational Risk Capital Requirement	31
Table 22: Leverage Ratio	32
Table 23: Summary Reconciliation of Accounting Assets and Leverage Ratio Exposures	32
Table 24: On-Balance Sheet Exposures	32
Table 25: Leverage Ratio Common Disclosure	33
Table 26: Own Funds Disclosure	36
Table 27: GSGUK Capital and MREL Instruments' Main Features Template	37
Table 28: GSI and GSIB Capital Instruments' Main Features Template	39
Table 29: Credit Quality of Exposures by Exposure Class and Instrument	44
Table 30: Credit Quality of Exposures by Industry or Counterparty Types	46
Table 31: Credit Quality of Exposures by Geography	47
Table 32: Credit Quality of Forborne Exposures	48
Table 33: Credit Quality of Performing and Non-performing Exposures by Past Due Days	49
Table 34: Performing and Non-performing Exposures and Related Provisions	52
Table 35: Collateral Obtained by Taking Possession and Execution Processes	55
Table 36: IRB (Equity Exposures Subject to the Simple Risk - Weighted Approach) ¹	56
Table 37: IRB Approach - Credit Risk Exposures by Exposure Class and PD Range	57
Table 38: Exposure-Weighted Average LGD and PD by Geography	60
Table 39: Standardised Approach - Credit Risk Exposure and CRM Effects	61
Table 40: Standardised Approach	62
Table 41: IRB Approach - CCR Exposures by Portfolio and PD Scale	63
Table 42: Impact of Netting and Collateral Held on Exposure Values ¹	66
Table 43: Composition of Collateral for Exposures to CCR	67
Table 44: Changes in the Stock of General and Specific Credit Risk Adjustments ¹	68
Table 45: Changes in the Stock of Defaulted and Impaired Loans and Debt Securities ¹	68

Introduction

Overview

The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Group Inc. or parent company), a Delaware corporation, together with its consolidated subsidiaries (collectively, the firm), is a leading global investment banking, securities and investment management firm that provides a wide range of financial services to a substantial and diversified client base that includes corporations, financial institutions, governments and individuals. Goldman Sachs Group UK Limited (GSGUKL) is a wholly owned subsidiary of Group Inc. When we use the terms “Goldman Sachs”, “GS Group” and “the firm”, we mean Group Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and when we use the terms “GSGUK”, “the company”, “we”, “us” and “our”, we mean GSGUKL and its consolidated subsidiaries.

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) is the primary regulator of Group Inc., a bank holding company (BHC) under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 and a financial holding company under amendments to this Act. As a BHC, the firm is subject to consolidated regulatory capital requirements which are calculated in accordance with the regulations of the FRB (Capital Framework).

GSGUK is supervised on a consolidated basis by the Prudential Regulation Authority (PRA) and as such is subject to minimum capital adequacy standards. Certain subsidiaries of GSGUK are regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and the PRA, or solely by the FCA, and are subject to minimum capital adequacy standards also on a standalone basis.

The capital requirements are expressed as risk-based capital and leverage ratios that compare measures of regulatory capital to risk-weighted assets (RWAs), average assets and off-balance-sheet exposures. Failure to comply with these capital requirements could result in restrictions being imposed by our regulators and could limit our ability to distribute capital, including share repurchases and dividend payments, and to make certain discretionary compensation payments. GSGUK’s capital levels are also subject to qualitative judgements by our regulators about components of capital, risk weightings and other factors.

For information on Group Inc.’s financial statements and regulatory capital ratios, please refer to the firm’s most recent Quarterly Pillar 3 Disclosures and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. References to the “Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q” are to the firm’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2020.

<https://www.goldmansachs.com/investor-relations/financials/current/other-information/2q-pillar3-2020.pdf>

<https://www.goldmansachs.com/investor-relations/financials/current/10q/second-quarter-2020-10-q.pdf>

The GSGUK consolidated regulatory capital requirement has been calculated in accordance with the E.U. Capital Requirements Directive (CRD) and the E.U. Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR). These are largely based on the Basel Committee’s final capital framework for strengthening international capital standards (Basel III), which is structured around three pillars: Pillar 1 “minimum capital requirements”, Pillar 2 “supervisory review process” and Pillar 3 “market discipline”. The CRR is directly applicable in the UK and certain provisions of the CRD or discretionary aspects of CRR have been implemented in the PRA and FCA Rulebooks.

In 2018, GSGUK changed its accounting reference date from December 31 to November 30. As such, its second quarter for 2020 is for the three months ended May 31, 2020 and its half year for 2020 is for the six months ended May 31, 2020. All references to May 2020 refer to the period ended, or the date, as the context requires, May 31, 2020.

The Pillar 3 disclosures set out the qualitative and quantitative elements of Part 8 of the CRR, as supplemented by the PRA and FCA Rulebooks, for which we have determined that more frequent disclosure is appropriate in accordance with the European Banking Authority (EBA) Guidelines under Articles 431(1), 432(2) and 433 of CRR. From March 2018, the Pillar 3 disclosures have also been prepared in accordance with the EBA Guidelines on disclosure requirements under Part 8 of the CRR published in December 2016.

GSGUK also publishes annual Pillar 3 disclosures. The latest available published annual Pillar 3 disclosures can be accessed via the following link:

<https://www.goldmansachs.com/disclosures/>

The latest annual consolidated financial information for GSGUK can be accessed via the following link:

<https://www.goldmansachs.com/disclosures/gsgukl-consolidated-financials-2019.pdf>

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Measures of exposures and other metrics disclosed in this report may not be based on U.K. Generally Accepted Accounting Practices (U.K. GAAP), may not be directly comparable to measures reported in financial statements, and may not all be comparable to similar measures used by other companies. These disclosures are not required to be, and have not been, audited by our independent auditors.

Basis of Consolidation

GSGUKL is the holding company for a group that provides a wide range of financial services to clients located worldwide. The company's functional currency is US dollars and these disclosures are prepared in that currency.

The following UK-regulated subsidiaries are included in the regulatory consolidation:

- Goldman Sachs International (GSI)
- Goldman Sachs International Bank (GSIB)
- Goldman Sachs Asset Management International (GSAMI)
- Goldman Sachs MB Services Limited (GSMBSL)

The scope of consolidation for regulatory capital purposes is consistent with the U.K. GAAP consolidation.

The company is required to make certain capital disclosures on an individual or subconsolidated basis for significant subsidiaries. The significant subsidiaries of GSGUK are GSI and GSIB. GSI is the firm's broker dealer in the Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA) region and its risk profile is materially the same as GSGUK. GSIB is GSGUK's deposit-taking subsidiary. Risk management policies and procedures are applied consistently to GSI, GSIB and to GSGUK as a whole. The remaining entities have minimal balance sheet activity and have not been determined material subsidiaries for the purposes of these disclosures.

Restrictions on the Transfer of Funds or Regulatory Capital within the Firm

Group Inc. is a holding company and, therefore, utilises dividends, distributions and other payments from its subsidiaries to fund dividend payments and other payments on its obligations, including debt obligations. Regulatory capital requirements, as well as other provisions of applicable law and regulations restrict Group Inc.'s ability to withdraw capital from its regulated subsidiaries. Within GSGUK, capital is provided by GSGUKL to subsidiary entities. Capital is considered transferable to other entities within the GSGUK Group without any significant restriction except to

the extent it is required for regulatory purposes.

For information about restrictions on the transfer of funds within Group Inc. and its subsidiaries, see "Note 20. Regulation and Capital Adequacy" in Part I, Item 1 "Financial Statements" and "Risk Management - Liquidity Risk Management" and "Equity Capital Management and Regulatory Capital" in Part I, Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Definition of Risk-Weighted Assets

The risk weights used in the calculation of RWAs reflect an assessment of the riskiness of our assets and exposures. These risk weights are based on either predetermined levels set by regulators or on internal models which are subject to various qualitative and quantitative parameters that are subject to approval by our regulators. The relationship between available capital and capital requirements can be expressed in the form of a ratio, and capital requirements are arrived at by dividing RWAs by 12.5. In this document, minimum capital ratios set out in Table 1 are expressed including the impact of additional buffers.

Fair Value

Trading assets and liabilities, certain investments and loans, and certain other financial assets and liabilities, are included in our consolidated balance sheets at fair value (i.e., marked-to-market), with related gains or losses generally recognised in our consolidated statements of earnings and, therefore, in capital. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The use of fair value to measure financial instruments is fundamental to risk management practices and is our most critical accounting policy. The daily discipline of marking substantially all of our inventory to current market levels is an effective tool for assessing and managing risk and provides transparent and realistic insight into our financial exposures. The use of fair value is an important aspect to consider when evaluating our capital base and our capital ratios as changes in the fair value of our positions are reflected in the current period's shareholders' equity, and accordingly, regulatory capital; it is also a factor used to determine the classification of positions into the banking book and trading book.

For additional information regarding the determination of fair value under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) and controls over valuation of financial instruments, see "Note 3. Significant Accounting

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Policies” in Part I, Item 1 “Financial Statements”, and “Critical Accounting Policies – Fair Value” in Part I, Item 2 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the firm’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

The firm has documented policies and maintains systems and controls for the calculation of Prudent Valuation Adjustment (“PVA”) as required by the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No. 2016/101. PVA represents the excess of valuation adjustments required to achieve prudent value, over any adjustment applied in the firm’s fair value that addresses the same source of valuation uncertainty. For a valuation input where the range of plausible values is created from mid prices, Prudent Value represents the point within the range where the firm is 90% confident that the mid value which could be achieved in exiting the valuation exposure would be at that price or better. The Firm’s methodology addresses fair value uncertainties arising from a number of sources; market price uncertainty, close-out costs, model risk, unearned credit spreads, investing and funding cost, concentrated positions, future administrative costs, early termination, operational risk. Methodologies utilised by our independent control functions to calculate PVA are aligned with, and use the same external data sources as, those used when carrying out price verification of fair value.

Banking Book / Trading Book Classification

The firm has a comprehensive framework of policies, controls and reporting to meet the requirements of the CRR for inclusion of positions in the banking book and trading book. In order to determine the appropriate regulatory capital treatment for our exposures, positions must first be classified into either “banking book” or “trading book”. Positions are classified as banking book unless they qualify to be classified as trading book.

Trading book positions generally meet the following criteria: they are assets or liabilities that are accounted for at fair value; they are risk managed using a Value-at-Risk (VaR) internal model; they are held as part of our market-making and underwriting businesses and are intended to be resold in the short term, or positions intended to benefit from actual or expected short-term price differences between buying and selling prices or from other price or interest rate variations¹. Trading book positions are subject to market risk regulatory capital requirements, as are foreign exchange and commodity positions, whether or not they meet the other criteria for classification as trading book positions. Market risk is the

risk of loss in value of these positions due to changes in market conditions. Some trading book positions, such as derivatives, are also subject to counterparty credit risk regulatory capital requirements.

Banking book positions may be accounted for at amortised cost, fair value or in accordance with the equity method. Banking book positions are subject to credit risk regulatory capital requirements. Credit risk represents the potential for loss due to the default or deterioration in credit quality of a counterparty (e.g., an Over-The-Counter (OTC) derivatives counterparty or a borrower) or an issuer of securities or other instruments we hold.

Regulatory Developments

The firm’s businesses are subject to significant and evolving regulation. Reforms have been adopted or are being considered by regulators and policy-makers worldwide. The expectation is that the principal areas of impact from regulatory reform for the firm will be increased regulatory capital requirements and increased regulation and restriction on certain activities. However, given that many of the new and proposed rules are highly complex, the full impact of regulatory reform will not be known until the rules are implemented and market practices develop under the final E.U. and/or U.K. regulations.

GSGUK is subject to the capital framework for E.U.-regulated financial institutions prescribed in the CRD and the CRR. These capital regulations are largely based on the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision’s (Basel Committee) capital framework for strengthening international capital standards (Basel III). The Basel Committee is the primary global standard setter for prudential bank regulation, and its member jurisdictions implement regulations based on its standards and guidelines. During the Brexit transition period, the current E.U. rules on prudential regulation will continue to apply to GSGUK. The U.K. government is introducing legislation to provide that the current prudential rules will continue to apply from January 1, 2021.

Risk-Based Capital Ratios. In June 2019, amendments to the CRR and CRD were published in the Official Journal of the E.U.

The amendments to the CRR include changes to rules for the leverage ratio, the net stable funding ratio, requirements for own funds and eligible liabilities (MREL), counterparty credit risk, market risk, exposures to central counterparties, exposures to collective investment undertakings, large exposures, and reporting and disclosure requirements. Most of the amendments to the CRR will apply from June 28,

¹ As defined in point (85) of Article 4(1) in CRR

Pillar 3 Disclosures

2021. The requirements for MREL are already effective. The implementation timing of the market risk revisions is dependent on technical standards, which have yet to be finalised. The firm expects that binding market risk rules will not apply before 2023.

The amendments to the CRD include provisions on financial holding companies, remuneration, interest rate risk management, supervisory powers and macro-prudential capital requirements. The U.K., as an E.U. member state at the time of publication in the Official Journal, is required to adopt the amendments to the CRD. The amendments to the CRD will be phased in over time with most changes applicable from June 28, 2021, and some macro prudential measures applicable from January 1, 2022. HM Treasury and the Bank of England have launched consultations on the transposition of the CRD amendments.

In December 2017, the Basel Committee published standards that it described as the finalisation of the Basel III post-crisis regulatory reforms. These standards set a floor on internally developed capital requirements at a percentage of the capital requirements under the standardised approach. They also revised the Basel Committee's standardised and model-based approaches for credit risk, provide a new standardised approach for operational risk capital and revise the frameworks for credit valuation adjustment risk. The Basel Committee has proposed that national regulators implement these standards beginning January 1, 2023, and that the new floor be phased in through January 1, 2028.

The Basel Committee's standards are not effective in any jurisdiction until rules implementing such standards have been implemented by the relevant authorities in such jurisdiction.

The impact of the latest Basel Committee developments on the firm (including its RWAs and regulatory capital ratios) is subject to uncertainty until corresponding legislation is implemented.

Climate Change

We recognize that climate change presents both challenges and opportunities for our business. Climate change could potentially disrupt the firm's business, affect client activity levels and creditworthiness and damage the firm's reputation. For example, climate change may cause extreme weather events that disrupt operations at one or more of the firm's primary locations, affecting its ability to service and interact

with its clients. Climate change may also have a negative impact on the financial condition of its clients, which may decrease revenues from those clients and increase the credit risk associated with loans and other credit exposures to those clients. Additionally, the firm's reputation may be damaged as a result of its involvement, or its clients' involvement, in certain industries or projects associated with climate change. The firm's Environmental Policy Framework articulates our roadmap for environmental progress and our approach to engaging with clients on climate-related risks and opportunities, including risk management guidelines for carbon intense sectors. We continue to develop our approach to identify and manage the physical and transition risks to our assets and counterparties arising from increasing climate change, and we may identify opportunities in the allocation of capital and resources towards innovative financial solutions and green initiatives.

Other Developments

In response to the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic, the company activated and has continued to successfully execute on its Business Continuity Planning (BCP) strategy. The company's priority has been to safeguard its employees and to seek to ensure continuity of business operations on behalf of its clients. As a result of the company's BCP plan, the vast majority of its employees continue to work remotely. The company has been focused on establishing policies and protocols that will enable a phased return to office, taking into account the readiness of people, communities and facilities. As communities where the company operates begin to reopen, the company is taking the necessary steps to return to the office in a safe manner.

The COVID-19 pandemic has created economic and financial disruptions that have in the past adversely affected, and may in the future adversely affect the company's business, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. The extent to which the COVID-19 pandemic will negatively affect the company's businesses, financial condition, liquidity and results of operations will depend on future developments, which are highly uncertain and cannot be predicted.

On June 27, 2020, the E.U. adopted amendments to the CRR to ease prudential constraints for banks and encourage lending to the economy during the COVID-19 pandemic. These changes do not have a material impact on the company's binding capital constraints.

Capital Framework

Capital Structure

For regulatory capital purposes, a company's total available capital has the following components:

- Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1), which is comprised of common shareholders' equity, after giving effect to deductions for disallowed items and other adjustments;
- Tier 1 capital which is comprised of CET1 capital and other qualifying capital instruments; and
- Tier 2 capital which is comprised of long term qualifying subordinated debt and preference shares.

Certain components of our regulatory capital are subject to regulatory limits and restrictions under the rules. In general, to qualify as Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital, an instrument must be fully paid and unsecured. A qualifying Tier 1 or Tier 2 capital instrument must also be subordinated to all senior indebtedness of the organisation.

The CET1 capital, Tier 1 capital and Total capital ratio requirements (collectively the Pillar 1 capital requirements) are supplemented by:

- A capital conservation buffer of 2.5%, consisting entirely of capital that qualifies as CET1 capital.
- A countercyclical capital buffer of up to 2.5% (consisting entirely of CET1 capital) in order to counteract excessive credit growth. The buffer only applies to GSGUK's exposures to certain types of counterparties and exposures based in jurisdictions which have announced and implemented a countercyclical buffer. The buffer was negligible as of May 2020. The countercyclical capital buffer applicable to GSGUK could change in the future and, as a result, GSGUK's risk-based capital requirements could increase.
- The individual capital requirement under Pillar 2A (an additional amount to cover risks not adequately captured in Pillar 1). The PRA performs a periodic supervisory review of GSI's and GSIB's Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP), which leads to a final determination by the PRA of individual

capital requirement under Pillar 2A. The sum of Pillar 1 and Pillar 2A requirement is referred to as "Total Capital Requirement" or TCR and represents the minimum amount of capital the PRA considers that a firm should hold at all times.

Together these constitute total minimum regulatory capital ratios.

Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratios

The following table presents GSGUK's, GSI's and GSIB's total minimum regulatory capital ratios as of May 2020.

Table 1: Minimum Regulatory Capital Ratios

	May 2020		
	Minimum ratio		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
CET1 capital ratio	8.2%	8.2%	8.6%
Tier 1 capital ratio	10.1%	10.1%	10.6%
Total capital ratio	12.7%	12.6%	13.2%

The ratios in the above table incorporate the TCR received from the PRA. As of May 2020, GSGUK's TCR at the total capital level was 10.2%.

The PRA also defines the forward looking capital requirement which represents the PRA's view of the capital that GSGUK would require to absorb losses in stressed market conditions. This is known as Pillar 2B or the "PRA buffer" and is not reflected in the minimum regulatory capital ratios shown in Table 1 above.

Compliance with Capital Requirements

As of May 31, 2020, all of GSGUK's regulated subsidiaries had capital levels in excess of their minimum regulatory capital requirements.

Regulatory Capital

Overview

The following table presents a breakdown of GSGUK's capital ratios under CRR as of May 31, 2020, including those for our significant subsidiaries GSI and GSIB.

Table 2: Regulatory Capital Ratios

\$ in millions	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
CET1 Capital	\$ 29,417	\$ 25,217	\$ 3,083
Tier 1 Capital	37,717	33,517	3,083
Tier 2 Capital	6,445	5,281	826
Total Capital	\$ 44,162	\$ 38,798	\$ 3,909
RWAs	\$ 270,593	\$ 250,478	\$ 17,652
CET1 Ratio	10.9%	10.1%	17.5%
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	13.9%	13.4%	17.5%
Total Capital Ratio	16.3%	15.5%	22.1%

Transitional Impact of IFRS 9

IFRS9 addresses the classification, measurement and recognition of financial assets and financial liabilities. It replaces the guidance in IAS 39 – Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement that relates to the classification and measurement of financial instruments. Based on materiality no further disclosures for the transitional impact of IFRS9 are made in this document.

Capital Structure

All capital, RWAs and ratios are based on current interpretation, expectations and understanding of the rules and may evolve as the interpretation and application is discussed with our regulators.

Assets that are deducted from capital in computing the numerator of the capital ratios are excluded from the computation of RWAs in the denominator of the ratios. The following tables contain information on the components of our regulatory capital structure. The capital resources of GSGUK are based on unaudited, consolidated non-statutory financial information and those of GSI and GSIB are based on unaudited statutory financial statements.

Table 3: Regulatory Capital Resources

\$ in millions	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
Ordinary Share Capital	\$ 2,135	\$ 598	\$ 63
Share Premium Account Including Reserves	778	5,745	2,104
Retained Earnings ¹	30,655	21,502	1,179
Less: Unrecognised Profits for the Financial Period	(1,866)	(349)	(102)
CET1 Capital Before Deductions	\$ 31,702	\$ 27,496	\$ 3,244
Net Pension Assets	(312)	(312)	-
CVA and DVA	(244)	(276)	(10)
Prudent Valuation Adjustments	(615)	(525)	(4)
Expected Loss Deduction and Loan Loss Provision	(750)	(643)	(107)
Other Adjustments ²	(37)	(199)	(37)
Intangibles	(327)	(324)	(3)
CET1 Capital After Deductions	\$ 29,417	\$ 25,217	\$ 3,083
Additional Tier 1 capital	8,300	8,300	-
Tier 1 Capital After Deductions	\$ 37,717	\$ 33,517	\$ 3,083
Tier 2 Capital Before Deductions ³	6,503	5,377	826
Amortisation of Tier 2 Capital	\$ (58)	(96)	-
Tier 2 Capital After Deductions	\$ 6,445	\$ 5,281	\$ 826
Total Capital Resources	\$ 44,162	\$ 38,798	\$ 3,909

1. Includes unrecognised profits as of May 2020.
2. Other Adjustments represent regulatory adjustments for foreseeable charges and deferred tax assets.
3. Tier 2 Capital represents subordinated debt with an original term to maturity of five years or greater, and preference shares.

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Minimum Requirement for Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities (MREL)**

The amendments to the CRR published in June 2019 require material subsidiaries of an overseas banking group at the consolidated E.U. level, such as GSGUK, to have sufficient own funds and eligible liabilities to meet internal MREL. These rules began to phase in from June 27, 2019, and will become fully effective on January 1, 2022.

As of May 31, 2020, GSGUK had own funds and eligible liabilities in excess of its internal MREL.

GSGUK own funds and eligible liabilities key metrics are provided in Table 5.

The following table provides details of the composition of GSGUK's own funds and eligible liabilities.

Table 5: Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities Composition

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of May 2020
	GSGUK
Common Equity Tier 1 capital (CET1)	29,417
Additional Tier 1 capital (AT1) before own funds and eligible liabilities adjustments	8,300
AT1 instruments not eligible to meet internal MREL	(2,800)
AT1 instruments eligible under the own funds and eligible liabilities framework	5,500
Tier 2 capital (T2) before own funds and eligible liabilities adjustments	6,445
Amortised portion of T2 instruments where remaining maturity > 1 year	58
Other adjustments	-
T2 instruments eligible under the own funds and eligible liabilities framework	6,503
Own funds and eligible liabilities arising from regulatory capital	41,420
Eligible liabilities instruments subordinated to excluded liabilities	16,476
Own funds and eligible liabilities instruments arising from non-regulatory capital instruments before adjustments	16,476
Own funds and eligible liabilities instruments before deductions	57,896
Deduction of investments in own other own funds and eligible liabilities	-
Other adjustments to internal own funds and eligible liabilities	-
Own funds and eligible liabilities instruments after deductions	57,896
Total RWAs	270,593
Leverage exposure measure	820,111
Own funds and eligible liabilities as a percentage of total RWAs	21.40%
Own funds and eligible liabilities as a percentage of leverage exposure	7.06%
CET1 (as a percentage of total RWAs) available after meeting minimum capital requirements and MREL	5.03%
Institution-specific combined buffer requirement	2.53%
Of which: capital conservation buffer requirement	2.50%
Of which: bank specific countercyclical buffer requirement	0.03%

Table 4: Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of May 2020
	GSGUK
Total own funds and eligible liabilities	\$ 57,896
Total RWA	270,593
Total own funds and eligible liabilities as a percentage of RWA	21.40%
Leverage Exposure	820,111
Total own funds and eligible liabilities as a percentage of leverage exposure	7.06%
Excluded Liabilities per Article 72a(2) of CRR	1,146,515

Pillar 3 Disclosures

The following table provides a breakdown of eligible instruments in the creditor hierarchy of GSGUK.

Table 6: Own Funds and Eligible Liabilities Creditor Ranking

\$ in millions As of May 2020

Description of creditor ranking	(most junior)					(most senior)	GSGUK
	Ordinary Shares ¹	AT1 Instruments	Tier 2 Preference Shares	Tier 2 Sub-ordinated Loans	Senior Sub-ordinated Loans	Total	
Total capital and liabilities net of credit risk mitigation	\$ 2,135	\$ 8,300	\$ 2,300	\$ 4,203	\$ 16,476	\$ 33,414	
Subset of row 3 that are excluded liabilities							
Total capital and liabilities less excluded liabilities	2,135	8,300	2,300	4,203	16,476	33,414	
Eligible as own funds and eligible liabilities	2,135	5,500	2,300	4,203	16,476	30,614	
with 1 year ≤ residual maturity < 2 years							
with 2 years ≤ residual maturity < 5 years				675	13,076	13,751	
with 5 years ≤ residual maturity < 10 years			2,300	3,528	3,400	9,228	
with residual maturity ≥ 10 years							
perpetual securities	2,135	5,500				7,635	

1. Ordinary shares excludes the value of share premium and reserves

Risk-Weighted Assets

RWAs are calculated based on measures of credit risk, market risk and operational risk. The tables below represent a summary of the RWAs and capital requirements for GSGUK, GSI and GSIB by type as at May 31, 2020 and February 29, 2020.

Table 7: Overview of RWAs¹

GSGUK

\$ in millions

	RWAs		Minimum capital requirements
	May 2020	February 2020	
1 Credit risk (excluding CCR)	\$ 31,053	\$ 34,398	\$ 2,484
2 Of which the standardised approach	3,237	6,760	259
4 Of which the advanced IRB (AIRB) approach	27,061	26,684	2,165
5 Of which equity IRB under the simple risk-weighted approach or the IMA	755	954	60
6 CCR	\$ 94,786	\$ 94,665	\$ 7,583
7 Of which mark to market	6,972	7,393	558
9 Of which the standardised approach	-	-	-
10 Of which internal model method (IMM)	62,909	72,331	5,033
11 Of which risk exposure amount for contributions to the default fund of a CCP	507	716	40
12 Of which CVA VaR	24,398	14,225	1,952
13 Settlement risk	\$ 4,292	\$ 2,894	\$ 344
14 Securitisation exposures in the banking book (after the cap)	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,187	\$ 93
19 Market risk	\$ 122,289	\$ 100,666	\$ 9,783
20 Of which the standardised approach	43,762	45,993	3,501
21 Of which IMA	78,527	54,673	6,282
22 Large exposures	-	-	-
23 Operational risk	\$ 17,010	\$ 17,010	\$ 1,361
24 Of which basic indicator approach	-	-	-
25 Of which standardised approach	17,010	17,010	1,361
29 Total	\$ 270,593	\$ 250,820	\$ 21,648

GSGUK total capital ratio decreased from 17.7% in February 2020 to 16.3% in May 2020 primarily due to the following movements:

- GSGUK Credit RWAs as of May 2020 decreased by \$1.9 billion compared with February 2020, primarily reflecting a decrease in counterparty credit risk driven by a Group guarantee (for further information, see “Credit Risk Mitigation”), a decrease in credit risk under the standardised approach, partially offset by an increase in CVA VaR RWA due to widening credit spreads as a result of COVID-19.
- GSGUK Market RWAs as of May 2020 increased by \$21.6 billion compared with February 2020, primarily reflecting an increase in modelled market risk mainly due to the rise in market volatilities as a result of COVID-19.

1. A new securitisation framework came into effect in 2019 for new securitisations issued after 1 January 2019. All securitisations are subject to the new framework as of 1 January 2020.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSI

\$ in millions

	RWAs		Minimum capital requirements
	May 2020	February 2020	
1 Credit risk (excluding CCR)	\$ 16,542	\$ 16,214	\$ 1,323
2 Of which the standardised approach	671	1,251	54
4 Of which the advanced IRB (AIRB) approach	15,116	14,009	1,209
5 Of which equity IRB under the simple risk-weighted approach or the IMA	755	954	60
6 CCR	\$ 94,002	\$ 93,856	\$ 7,520
7 Of which mark to market	6,553	6,910	524
9 Of which the standardised approach	-	-	-
10 Of which internal model method (IMM)	62,681	72,053	5,015
11 Of which risk exposure amount for contributions to the default fund of a CCP	506	715	40
12 Of which CVA VaR	24,262	14,178	1,941
13 Settlement risk	\$ 4,292	\$ 2,894	\$ 344
14 Securitisation exposures in the banking book (after the cap)	-	-	-
19 Market risk	\$ 119,489	\$ 99,288	\$ 9,559
20 Of which the standardised approach	40,962	44,615	3,277
21 Of which IMA	78,527	54,673	6,282
22 Large exposures	-	-	-
23 Operational risk	\$ 16,153	\$ 15,408	\$ 1,292
24 Of which basic indicator approach	-	-	-
25 Of which standardised approach	16,153	15,408	1,292
29 Total	\$ 250,478	\$ 227,660	\$ 20,038

GSIB

\$ in millions

	RWAs		Minimum capital requirements
	May 2020	February 2020	
1 Credit risk (excluding CCR)	\$ 12,906	\$ 13,892	\$ 1,032
2 Of which the standardised approach	93	290	7
4 Of which the advanced IRB (AIRB) approach	12,813	13,602	1,025
5 Of which equity IRB under the simple risk-weighted approach or the IMA	0	-	0
6 CCR	\$ 610	\$ 625	\$ 49
7 Of which mark to market	353	299	29
9 Of which the standardised approach	-	-	-
10 Of which internal model method (IMM)	228	278	18
11 Of which risk exposure amount for contributions to the default fund of a CCP	1	1	0
12 Of which CVA VaR	28	47	2
13 Settlement risk	-	-	-
14 Securitisation exposures in the banking book (after the cap)	\$ 1,163	\$ 1,187	\$ 93
19 Market risk	\$ 2,403	\$ 930	\$ 192
20 Of which the standardised approach	2,403	930	192
21 Of which IMA	-	-	-
22 Large exposures	-	-	-
23 Operational risk	\$ 570	\$ 501	\$ 46
24 Of which basic indicator approach	-	-	-
25 Of which standardised approach	570	501	46
29 Total	\$ 17,652	\$ 17,135	\$ 1,412

Credit Risk

Overview

Credit risk represents the potential for loss due to the default or deterioration in credit quality of a counterparty (e.g. an OTC derivatives counterparty or a borrower) or an issuer of securities or other instruments we hold. Our exposure to credit risk comes mostly from client transactions in OTC derivatives and loans and lending commitments. Credit risk also comes from cash placed with banks, securities financing transactions (i.e., resale and repurchase agreements and securities borrowing and lending activities) and customer and other receivables.

Credit Risk, which is independent of the revenue-producing units and reports to the firm's chief risk officer, has primary responsibility for assessing, monitoring and managing credit risk through firmwide oversight across the firm's global businesses. The Risk Governance Committee reviews and approves credit policies and parameters. In addition, we hold other positions that give rise to credit risk (e.g., bonds and secondary bank loans). These credit risks are captured as a component of market risk measures, which are monitored and managed by Market Risk. We also enter into derivatives to manage market risk exposures. Such derivatives also give rise to credit risk, which is monitored and managed by Credit Risk.

Credit Risk Management Process

The firm's process for managing credit risk includes the critical components of the risk management framework described in "Risk Management – Overview and Structure of Risk Management" in Part I, Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as the following:

- Monitoring compliance with established credit risk limits and reporting our credit exposures and credit concentrations;
- Establishing or approving underwriting standards;
- Assessing the likelihood that a counterparty will default on its payment obligations;
- Measuring our current and potential credit exposure and losses resulting from a counterparty default;
- Using credit risk mitigants, including collateral and hedging; and
- Maximizing recovery through active workout and restructuring of claims.

Credit Risk also performs credit reviews, which include initial and ongoing analyses of our counterparties. For substantially all credit exposures, the core of the process is an annual counterparty credit review. A credit review is an independent analysis of the capacity and willingness of a counterparty to meet its financial obligations, resulting in an internal credit rating. The determination of internal credit ratings also incorporates assumptions with respect to the nature of and outlook for the counterparty's industry, and the economic environment. Senior personnel, with expertise in specific industries, inspect and approve credit reviews and internal credit ratings.

The firm's risk assessment process may also include, where applicable, reviewing certain key metrics, including, but not limited to, delinquency status, collateral values, credit scores and other risk factors.

The firm's credit risk management systems capture credit exposure to individual counterparties and on an aggregate basis to counterparties and their subsidiaries. These systems also provide management with comprehensive information on the firm's aggregate credit risk by product, internal credit rating, industry, country and region.

Risk Measures

The firm measures credit risk based on the potential loss in the event of non-payment by a counterparty using current and potential exposure. For derivatives and securities financing transactions, current exposure represents the amount presently owed after taking into account applicable netting and collateral arrangements, while potential exposure represents the firm's estimate of the future exposure that could arise over the life of a transaction based on market movements within a specified confidence level. Potential exposure also takes into account netting and collateral arrangements. For loans and lending commitments, the primary measure is a function of the notional amount of the position.

Limits

Credit limits are used at various levels, as well as underwriting standards, to manage the size and nature of credit exposures. For GS Group, the Risk Committee of the Board and the Risk Governance Committee approve credit risk limits at GS Group, business and product levels, consistent with the risk appetite statement. The GSI and GSIB Risk Committees approve the framework that governs the setting of credit risk limits at the entity level, and delegate responsibility for the ongoing execution and monitoring to the GSI and GSIB chief credit officers

Pillar 3 Disclosures

respectively. Credit Risk (through delegated authority from the Risk Governance Committee) sets credit limits for individual counterparties, economic groups, industries and countries. Limits for counterparties and economic groups are reviewed regularly and revised to reflect changing risk appetites for a given counterparty or group of counterparties. Limits for industries and countries are based on our risk appetite and are designed to allow for regular monitoring, review, escalation and management of credit risk concentrations. For information on the limit approval process, see “Risk Management – Overview and Structure of Risk Management” in Part I, Item 2 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the firm’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Policies authorised by GS Group’s Enterprise Risk Committee and the Risk Governance Committee prescribe the level of formal approval required for GS Group to assume credit exposure to a counterparty across all product areas, taking into account any applicable netting provisions, collateral or other credit risk mitigants.

Credit Risk is responsible for monitoring these limits, and identifying and escalating to senior management and/or the appropriate risk committee, on a timely basis, instances where limits have been exceeded.

Credit Exposures

For information on the firm’s credit exposures, including the gross fair value, netting benefits and current exposure of the firm’s derivative exposures and securities financing transactions, see “Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities” and “Note 11. Collateralized Agreements and Financings” in Part I, Item 1 “Financial Statements” and “Risk Management – Credit Risk Management” in Part I, Item 2 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the firm’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Credit Risk and Counterparty Credit Risk RWAs

Credit RWAs are calculated based upon measures of credit exposure, which are then risk weighted. Below is a description of the methodology used to calculate RWAs for Wholesale exposures, which generally include credit exposures to corporates, institutions, sovereigns or government entities (other than securitisation, retail or equity exposures). Within GSGUK, GSI and GSIB have permission at the solo and consolidated levels to compute risk weights for certain exposures in accordance with the Advanced Internal Ratings Based (AIRB) approach which

utilises internal assessments of each counterparty’s creditworthiness. The internal credit rating is assigned to each exposure class based on a credit-worthiness review methodology determined by the Credit Risk department.

As such, the Credit Risk exposure that does not qualify for the AIRB approach but is instead calculated under the standardised approach, for which nominated external credit assessment institutions (ECAI) ratings are potentially eligible to be used, is immaterial. Exposure classes under the standardised approach include corporates, retail and private equity for which external ratings are generally unavailable, unrated or private corporates. These exposures represent less than 5% of the total Credit Risk exposures.

Exposure at Default (EAD). The exposure amount that is risk weighted for regulatory capital calculations. For on-balance-sheet assets, such as receivables and cash, EAD is generally based on the balance sheet value. For the calculation of EAD for off-balance-sheet exposures, including commitments and guarantees, an equivalent exposure amount is calculated based on the notional amount of each transaction multiplied by a credit conversion factor in accordance with Article 166 of the CRR.

For the measurement of substantially all counterparty credit exposure on OTC, cleared and listed derivative and securities financing transactions, within GSGUK, GSI and GSIB have permission at the solo and consolidated levels to use the Internal Model Method (IMM). IMM is used for substantially all of the counterparty credit risk arising from OTC derivatives, exchange-traded derivatives and securities financing transactions. The models estimate Expected Exposures (EE) at various points in the future using risk factor simulations. The model parameters are derived from historical and implied market data using the most recent three-year period as well as a stressed three-year period. The models also estimate the Effective Expected Positive Exposure (EEPE) over the first year of the portfolio, which is the time-weighted average of non-declining positive credit exposure over the EE simulation. EAD is calculated by multiplying the EEPE by a standard regulatory factor of 1.4.

As GSGUK calculates the majority of its counterparty credit exposure under the IMM, the impacts of netting and collateral are integral to the calculation of the exposure. The exposures disclosed below are presented on a net and collateralised basis where there is a legally enforceable netting and collateral opinion. They do not include the effect of any credit protection purchased on counterparties.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Advanced IRB Approach. RWAs are calculated by multiplying EAD by the counterparty's risk-weight. In accordance with the AIRB approach, risk-weights are a function of the counterparty's Probability of Default (PD), Loss Given Default (LGD) and the maturity of the trade or portfolio of trades. We also use internal ratings for risk management purposes.

- PD is an estimate of the probability that an obligor will default over a one-year horizon. For the majority of Wholesale exposures, the PD is assigned using an approach where quantitative factors are combined with a qualitative assessment to determine internal credit rating grades. For each internal credit rating grade, over 5 years of historical empirical data is used to calculate a long run average annual PD which is assigned to each counterparty with that credit rating grade.

Internal credit rating grades each have external public rating agency equivalents. The scale that is employed for internal credit ratings corresponds to that used by the major rating agencies and the internal credit ratings, while arrived at independently of public ratings, are assigned using definitions of each internal credit rating grade that are consistent with the definitions used by the major rating agencies for their equivalent credit rating grades. As a result, default data published by the major rating agencies for obligors with public ratings can be mapped to counterparties with equivalent internal credit ratings for quantification and validation of risk parameters.

- LGD is an estimate of the economic loss rate if a default occurs during economic downturn conditions. For Wholesale exposures, LGDs are determined using data from a recognised vendor model, from a downturn period, and are mapped to obligors based on attributes identified as being statistically significant to the ultimate recovery. LGD estimates for low default portfolios are calibrated using the same data, i.e. from corporate portfolios, which is deemed to be a conservative approach.
- The definition of maturity depends on the nature of the exposure. For OTC, cleared and listed derivatives, maturity is an average time measure weighted by credit exposure (based on EE and EEPE) as required by the applicable capital regulation. For securities financing transactions, maturity represents the notional weighted average number of days to maturity. Maturity is floored at one year and capped at five years except where the rules allow a maturity of less than one year to be used as long as certain criteria are met.

Governance and Validation of Risk Parameters

Approaches and methodologies for quantifying PD, LGD, and EAD are monitored and managed by Credit Risk. Models used for regulatory capital are independently reviewed, validated and approved by Model Risk.

To assess the performance of the PD parameters used, on an annual basis the firm performs a benchmarking exercise which includes comparisons of realised annual default rates to the expected annual default rates for each credit rating band and comparisons of the internal realised long-term average default rates to the empirical long-term average default rates assigned to each credit rating band. For 2019, as well as in previous annual periods, the PDs used for regulatory capital calculations were, on average, higher (i.e., more conservative) than the firm's actual internal realised default rate.

During the period, the total number of counterparty defaults remained low, representing less than 0.5% of all counterparties, and such defaults primarily occurred within loans and lending commitments. Estimated losses associated with counterparty defaults were not material.

To assess the performance of LGD parameters used, on an annual basis the firm compares recovery rates following counterparty defaults to the recovery rates based on LGD parameters assigned to the corresponding exposures prior to default. While the actual realised recovery on each defaulted exposure varies due to transaction and other situation-specific factors, on average, recovery rates remain higher than those implied by the LGD parameters used in regulatory capital calculations.

The performance of each IMM model used to quantify EAD is assessed quarterly via backtesting procedures, performed by comparing the predicted and realised exposure of a set of representative trades and portfolios at certain horizons. The firm's models are monitored and enhanced in response to backtesting.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

The following three tables present the methods used to calculate Counterparty Credit Risk RWAs and main parameters used within each method for GSGUK, GSI and GSIB as of May 31, 2020.

Table 8: Analysis of CCR Exposure by Approach

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	Notional	Replacement cost/current market value	Potential future credit exposure	EEPE	Multiplier	EAD post CRM	RWAs
1 Mark to market		\$ 3,161	\$ 6,372			\$ 9,532	\$ 6,916
3 Standardised approach						-	-
4 IMM (for derivatives and SFTs)				95,972	1.40	134,361	62,538
5 <i>Of which securities financing transactions</i>				30,155	1.40	42,218	12,443
6 <i>Of which derivatives and long settlement transactions</i>				65,817	1.40	92,143	50,095
11 Total							\$ 69,454

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	Notional	Replacement cost/current market value	Potential future credit exposure	EEPE	Multiplier	EAD post CRM	RWAs
1 Mark to market		\$ 2,652	\$ 6,141			\$ 8,793	\$ 6,501
3 Standardised approach						-	-
4 IMM (for derivatives and SFTs)				95,136	1.40	133,191	62,310
5 <i>Of which securities financing transactions</i>				29,600	1.40	41,440	12,367
6 <i>Of which derivatives and long settlement transactions</i>				65,536	1.40	91,751	49,943
11 Total							\$ 68,811

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	Notional	Replacement cost/current market value	Potential future credit exposure	EEPE	Multiplier	EAD post CRM	RWAs
1 Mark to market		\$ 484	\$ 178			\$ 662	\$ 349
3 Standardised approach		-				-	-
4 IMM (for derivatives and SFTs)				836	1.40	1,170	228
5 <i>Of which securities financing transactions</i>				556	1.40	778	76
6 <i>Of which derivatives and long settlement transactions</i>				280	1.40	392	152
11 Total							\$ 577

Pillar 3 Disclosures

The following table presents GSGUK, GSI and GSIB's EAD after credit risk mitigation and RWAs on exposures to CCPs as of May 31, 2020.

Table 9: Exposures to CCPs

\$ in millions

		EAD post CRM			RWAs		
		GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
1	Exposures to QCCPs (total)				\$ 933	\$ 929	\$ 4
2	Exposures for trades at QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which	6,933	6,933	-	138	138	-
3	(i) OTC derivatives	624	624	-	12	12	-
4	(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives	6,197	6,197	-	124	124	-
5	(iii) SFTs	112	112	-	2	2	-
6	(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved	-	-	-	-	-	-
7	Segregated initial margin	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Non-segregated initial margin	14,408	14,235	172	288	285	3
9	Prefunded default fund contributions	507	506	1	507	506	1
10	Alternative calculation of own funds requirements for exposures				-	-	-
11	Exposures to non-QCCPs (total)				-	-	-
12	Exposures for trades at non-QCCPs (excluding initial margin and default fund contributions); of which	-	-	-	-	-	-
13	(i) OTC derivatives	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	(ii) Exchange-traded derivatives	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	(iii) SFTs	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	(iv) Netting sets where cross-product netting has been approved	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	Segregated initial margin	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Non-segregated initial margin	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Prefunded default fund contributions	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Unfunded default fund contributions	-	-	-	-	-	-

The following table presents GSGUK, GSI and GSIB's exposures subject to CVA capital charges and corresponding RWAs as of May 31, 2020.

Table 10: CVA VaR Capital Charge

\$ in millions

		Exposure value			RWAs		
		GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
1	Total portfolios subject to the advanced method	\$ 44,962	\$ 44,962	\$ -	\$ 18,104	\$ 18,104	\$ -
2	(i) VaR component (including the 3x multiplier)				9,977	9,977	-
3	(ii) SVaR component (including the 3x multiplier)				8,127	8,127	-
4	All portfolios subject to the standardised method	5,475	5,336	62	6,294	6,158	28
5	Total subject to the CVA capital charge	\$ 50,437	\$ 50,298	\$ 62	\$ 24,398	\$ 24,262	\$ 28

The following table presents a quarterly flow statement of the RWAs and Capital requirements under the IMM for GSGUK, GSI and GSIB as of May 31, 2020. GSI counterparty credit risk RWAs decreased \$9.4bn compared with February 2020, primarily due to improved credit quality of counterparties as a result of utilisation of a Group guarantee (for further information, see "Credit Risk Mitigation").

Table 11: RWA Flow Statements of CCR Exposures under the IMM

\$ in millions

		RWA amounts			Capital requirements		
		GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
1	RWAs as at the end of the previous reporting period	\$ 72,331	\$ 72,053	\$ 278	\$ 5,786	\$ 5,764	\$ 22
2	Asset size	(3,708)	(3,665)	(43)	(296)	(293)	(3)
3	Credit quality of counterparties	(5,214)	(5,214)	-	(417)	(417)	-
4	Model updates (IMM only)	2	2	-	0	0	-
7	Foreign exchange movements	(704)	(704)	-	(56)	(56)	-
8	Other	202	209	(7)	16	17	(1)
9	RWAs as at the end of the current reporting period	\$ 62,909	\$ 62,681	\$ 228	\$ 5,033	\$ 5,015	\$ 18

Pillar 3 Disclosures

The following table presents a quarterly flow statement of the RWAs and Capital requirements under the IRB approach for GSGUK, GSI and GSIB as of May 31, 2020.

Table 12: RWA Flow Statements of Credit Risk Exposures under the IRB Approach

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	RWA amounts			Capital requirements		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
1 RWAs as at the end of the previous reporting period	\$ 26,684	\$ 14,009	\$ 13,602	\$ 2,135	\$ 1,121	\$ 1,088
2 Asset size	(711)	335	(1,046)	(57)	27	(84)
3 Asset quality	841	480	361	67	38	29
7 Foreign exchange movements	(183)	(157)	(26)	(15)	(13)	(2)
8 Other	430	449	(78)	35	36	(6)
9 RWAs as at the end of the current reporting period	\$ 27,061	\$ 15,116	\$ 12,813	\$ 2,165	\$ 1,209	\$ 1,025

Credit Risk Mitigation

To reduce credit exposures on derivatives and securities financing transactions, we may enter into master netting agreements or similar arrangements (collectively, netting agreements) with counterparties that permit the firm to offset receivables and payables with such counterparties. A netting agreement is a contract with a counterparty that permits net settlement of multiple transactions with that counterparty, including upon the exercise of termination rights by a non-defaulting party. Upon exercise of such termination rights, all transactions governed by the netting agreement are terminated and a net settlement amount is calculated.

We may also reduce credit risk with counterparties by entering into agreements that enable us to receive and post cash and securities collateral with respect to our derivatives and securities financing transactions, subject to the terms of the related credit support agreements or similar arrangements (collectively, credit support agreements). An enforceable credit support agreement grants the non-defaulting party exercising termination provisions the right to liquidate collateral and apply the proceeds to any amounts owed. In order to assess enforceability of our right to setoff under netting and credit support agreements, we evaluate various factors, including applicable bankruptcy laws, local statutes and regulatory provisions in the jurisdiction of the parties to the agreement. The collateral we hold consists primarily of cash and securities of high quality government bonds (mainly US and EU), subject to haircuts as deemed appropriate by the Credit Risk function. The function performs ongoing collateral monitoring, to ensure the firm maintains an appropriate level of diversification of collateral, and distribution of collateral quality.

Our collateral is managed by certain functions within the firm which review exposure calculations, make margin calls with relevant counterparties, and ensure subsequent settlement of collateral movements. We monitor the fair value of the collateral on a daily basis to ensure that our credit exposures are appropriately collateralised.

As of May 2020, the aggregate amounts of additional collateral or termination payments related to our net derivative liabilities under bilateral agreements that could have been called by our counterparties in the event of a one- and two-notch downgrade of our credit ratings are \$277 million and \$523 million respectively for GSI, and immaterial for GSIB.

For additional information about the firm's derivatives (including collateral and the impact of the amount of collateral required in the event of a ratings downgrade), see "Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities" in Part I, Item 1 "Financial Statements" in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. See "Note 11. Collateralized Agreements and Financings" in Part I, Item 1 "Financial Statements" in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further information about collateralised agreements and financings.

For loans and lending commitments, depending on the credit quality of the borrower and other characteristics of the transaction, we employ a variety of potential risk mitigants. Risk mitigants include: collateral provisions, guarantees, covenants, structural seniority of the bank loan claims and, for certain lending commitments, provisions in the legal documentation that allow us to adjust loan amounts, pricing, structure and other terms as market conditions change. The type and structure of risk mitigants employed can significantly influence the degree of credit risk involved in a loan or lending commitment.

When we do not have sufficient visibility into a counterparty's financial strength or when we believe a counterparty requires support from its parent, we may obtain third-party guarantees of the counterparty's obligations. The main types of guarantors are sovereigns, certain supranational and multilateral development banks, banks and other financial institutions. We may also mitigate our credit risk using credit derivatives or participation agreements.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

In response to increased market volatility over the period, GSI entered into a guarantee agreement with its ultimate parent Group Inc. Under the CRR framework, this is treated as an unfunded credit risk mitigant and covers certain derivative and secured funding exposures where the counterparty credit quality is lower than that of Group Inc.

The following three tables presents GSGUK, GSI and GSIB net carrying values of credit risk exposures secured by different CRM techniques as of May 31, 2020.

Table 13: CRM Techniques**GSGUK***\$ in millions*

As of May 2020

	Exposures unsecured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured by collateral	Exposures secured by financial guarantees	Exposures secured by credit derivatives
1 Total loans	\$ 7,140	\$ 6,543	\$ 6,459	\$ 0	\$ 84
2 Total debt securities	1,250	62	62	-	-
3 Total exposures	\$ 8,390	\$ 6,605	\$ 6,521	\$ 0	\$ 84
4 Of which defaulted	\$ 169	-	-	-	-

GSI*\$ in millions*

As of May 2020

	Exposures unsecured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured by collateral	Exposures secured by financial guarantees	Exposures secured by credit derivatives
1 Total loans	\$ 776	\$ 1,067	\$ 1,067	\$ -	\$ -
2 Total debt securities	1,105	-	-	-	-
3 Total exposures	\$ 1,881	\$ 1,067	\$ 1,067	-	-
4 Of which defaulted	156	-	-	-	-

GSIB*\$ in millions*

As of May 2020

	Exposures unsecured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured – Carrying amount	Exposures secured by collateral	Exposures secured by financial guarantees	Exposures secured by credit derivatives
1 Total loans	\$ 6,592	\$ 10,174	\$ 8,580	\$ 415	\$ 1,179
2 Total debt securities	13	62	62	-	-
3 Total exposures	\$ 6,605	\$ 10,236	\$ 8,642	\$ 415	\$ 1,179
4 Of which defaulted	13	-	-	-	-

Pillar 3 Disclosures

The following table presents the impact of credit derivatives on the RWAs under the IRB approach for GSGUK, GSI and GSIB based on exposure class.

Table 14: IRB Approach - Effect on the RWAs of Credit Derivatives Used as CRM Techniques

\$ in millions As of May 2020

	Pre-Credit Derivatives RWAs			Actual RWAs		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
1 Exposures under AIRB						
2 Central governments and central banks	\$ 1,775	\$ 1,235	\$ 540	\$ 1,775	\$ 1,235	\$ 540
3 Institutions	4,473	3,901	572	4,491	3,901	590
6 Corporates – Other	21,704	9,980	14,875	21,663	9,980	11,683
12 Equity IRB	755	755	0	755	755	-
13 Other Non-Credit obligation assets	-	-	-	-	-	-
14 Total	\$ 28,707	\$ 15,871	\$ 15,987	\$ 28,684	\$ 15,871	\$ 12,813

Credit Derivatives

We enter into credit derivative transactions primarily to facilitate client activity and to manage the credit risk associated with market-making.

We also use credit derivatives to hedge counterparty exposure associated with investing and financing activities and to a lesser extent derivative exposure. Some of these hedges qualify as credit risk mitigants for regulatory capital purposes using the PD and LGD substitution approach (and subject to the regulatory haircuts for maturity and currency mismatch where applicable).

Where the aggregate notional of credit derivatives hedging

The following table presents GSGUK, GSI and GSIB exposure to credit derivatives based on notional and fair values as of May 31, 2020.

Table 15: Credit Derivatives Exposures

\$ in millions As of May 2020

	Credit derivative hedges								
	Protection bought			Protection sold			Other credit derivatives		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
Notionals									
Index Credit Default Swaps	\$ 516,503	\$ 515,121	\$ 1,382	\$ 516,515	\$ 515,286	\$ 1,229	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total Return swaps	6,132	6,132	-	41	41	-	-	-	-
Other Credit Default Swaps	330,438	329,563	876	315,903	315,544	359	-	-	-
Other Credit Derivatives	-	-	-	-	-	-	246,625	246,599	27
Total notionals	\$ 853,073	\$ 850,816	\$ 2,258	\$ 832,459	\$ 830,871	\$ 1,588	\$ 246,625	\$ 246,599	\$ 27
Fair values									
<i>Positive fair value (asset)</i>	\$ 19,147	\$ 19,135	\$ 12	\$ 11,243	\$ 11,215	\$ 28	\$ 8,115	\$ 8,109	\$ 6
<i>Negative fair value (liability)</i>	\$ 11,874	\$ 11,769	\$ 105	\$ 17,019	\$ 16,944	\$ 75	\$ 5,345	\$ 5,338	\$ 6

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Wrong-way Risk**

We seek to minimise risk where there is a significant positive correlation between the probability of default of a counterparty and our exposure to that counterparty (net of the market value of any collateral we receive), which is known as “wrong-way risk”. Wrong-way risk is commonly categorised into two types: specific wrong-way risk and general wrong-way risk. We categorise exposure as specific wrong-way risk when our counterparty and the issuer of the reference asset of the transaction are the same entity or are affiliates, or if the collateral supporting a transaction is issued by the counterparty or its affiliates. General wrong-way risk arises when there is a significant positive correlation between the probability of default of a counterparty and general market risk factors affecting the exposure to that counterparty. We have procedures in place to actively identify, monitor and control specific and general wrong-way risk, beginning at the inception of a transaction and continuing through its life, including assessing the level of risk through stress tests. We ensure that material wrong-way risk is mitigated using collateral agreements or increases to initial margin, where appropriate.

Credit Valuation Adjustment Risk-Weighted Assets

RWAs for CVA address the risk of losses related to changes in counterparty credit risk arising from OTC derivatives. We calculate RWAs for CVA primarily using the Advanced CVA approach set out in CRR, which permits the use of regulator approved VaR models. Consistent with our Regulatory VaR calculation (see “Market Risk” for further details), the CVA RWAs are calculated at a 99% confidence level over a 10-day time horizon.

The CVA RWAs also include a stressed CVA component, which is also calculated at a 99% confidence level over a 10-day horizon using both a Stressed VaR period and stressed EEs. The CVA VaR model estimates the impact on our credit valuation adjustments of changes to our counterparties’ credit spreads. It reflects eligible CVA hedges (as defined in CRR), but it excludes those hedges that, although used for risk-management purposes, are ineligible for inclusion in the regulatory CVA VaR model. Examples of such hedges are interest rate hedges, or those that do not reference the specific exposures they are intended to mitigate, but are nevertheless highly correlated to the underlying credit risk.

Other Credit Risk-Weighted Assets

Credit RWAs also include the following components:

Cleared Transactions

RWAs for cleared transactions and default fund contributions (defined as payments made by clearing members to central clearing agencies pursuant to mutualised loss arrangements) are calculated based on specific rules within CRR. A majority of our exposures on centrally cleared transactions are to counterparties that are considered to be Qualifying Central Counterparties (QCCPs) in accordance with the European Market Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR). Such exposures arise from OTC derivatives, exchange-traded derivatives, and securities financing transactions and are required to be risk weighted at either 2% or 4% based on the specified criteria.

Retail Exposures

As of May 31, 2020, we have immaterial retail exposures (defined as residential mortgage exposures, qualifying revolving exposures, or other retail exposures that are managed as part of a segment of exposures with homogeneous risk characteristics, not on an individual exposure basis) subject to standardised risk weights.

Other Assets

Other assets primarily include property, leasehold improvements and equipment, deferred tax assets, and assets for which there is no defined capital methodology or that are not material. RWAs for other assets are generally based on the carrying value and are typically risk weighted at 100%.

Equity Exposures in the Banking Book

The firm makes direct investments in public and private equity securities; it also makes investments, through funds that it manages (some of which are consolidated), in debt securities and loans, public and private equity securities and real estate entities. These investments are typically longer-term in nature and are primarily held for capital appreciation purposes; they are therefore classified for regulatory capital purposes as banking book equity investments. The firm also makes commitments to invest, primarily in private equity, real estate and other assets. Such commitments are made both directly and indirectly through funds that the firm raises and manages. Equity exposures held in GSGUK’s banking book are included in the Credit RWAs within row 5 of Table 7 and were not material as of May 31, 2020.

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Past due exposures, impaired exposures and impairment provisions**

Payments aged more than a threshold of 90 days on any material credit obligation to the company, 180 days on residential mortgage obligations or 120 days on other retail obligations are considered past due.

An exposure is considered impaired when it is probable that the borrower will be unable to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The firm's definition of unlikeliness to pay includes the distressed restructuring of an obligation, including bank loan obligations, that results in deferred or reduced payment to GS, whether or not counterparty is in bankruptcy, insolvency or local jurisdictional equivalent.

There are no instances for GSGUK, GSI or GSIB where past-due exposures are not considered to be impaired.

The allowance for impairment is determined using various risk factors, including industry default and loss data, current

macroeconomic indicators, borrower's capacity to meet its financial obligations, borrower's country of risk, loan seniority and collateral type. In addition, for loans backed by real estate, risk factors include loan to value ratio, debt service ratio and home price index. The firm also records an allowance for losses on lending commitments that are held for investment and accounted for on an accrual basis. Such allowance is determined using the same methodology as the allowance for impairment, while also taking into consideration the probability of drawdowns or funding, and is included in other liabilities and accrued expenses. Additionally, loans are charged off against the impairment provision when deemed to be uncollectible.

For information on GSGUK's methodology for calculating expected credit losses measured in accordance with the provisions of International Financial Reporting Standard (IFRS) 9, see "Impairment" in "Notes to the Consolidated Financial Information" in GSGUK's 2019 Consolidated Financial Information.

Market Risk

Overview

Market risk is the risk of loss in the value of inventory, investments, loans and other financial assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value, due to changes in market conditions. Categories of market risk include the following:

- Interest rate risk: results from exposures to changes in the level, slope and curvature of yield curves, the volatilities of interest rates, prepayment speeds and credit spreads;
- Equity price risk: results from exposures to changes in prices and volatilities of individual equities, baskets of equities and equity indices;
- Currency rate risk: results from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities of currency rates; and
- Commodity price risk: results from exposures to changes in spot prices, forward prices and volatilities of commodities, such as crude oil, petroleum products, natural gas, electricity, and precious and base metals.

Market Risk, which is independent of the revenue-producing units and reports to the firm's chief risk officer, has primary responsibility for assessing, monitoring and managing market risk through firmwide oversight across global businesses.

Managers in revenue-producing units and Market Risk discuss market information, positions and estimated loss scenarios on an ongoing basis. Managers in revenue-producing units are accountable for managing risk within prescribed limits. These managers have in-depth knowledge of their positions, markets and the instruments available to hedge their exposures.

Market Risk Management Process

The firm's process for managing market risk includes the critical components of the risk management framework described in the "Risk Management – Overview and Structure of Risk Management" in Part I, Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as the following:

- Monitoring compliance with established market risk limits and reporting our exposures;
- Diversifying exposures;

- Controlling position sizes; and
- Evaluating mitigants, such as economic hedges in related securities or derivatives.

Market Risk produces risk measures and monitors them against established market risk limits. These measures reflect an extensive range of scenarios and the results are aggregated at product, business and firmwide levels. For additional information regarding the firm's market risk measures and risk limits, see "Risk Management – Market Risk Management" in Part I, Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Market Risk-Weighted Assets

Trading book positions are subject to market risk capital requirements which are designed to cover the risk of loss in value of these positions due to changes in market conditions. These capital requirements are determined either by applying prescribed risk weighting factors in accordance with the standardised approach, or they are based on internal models which are subject to various qualitative and quantitative parameters. The CRR market risk capital rules require that a firm obtains prior written permission from its regulators before using any internal model to calculate its risk-based capital requirement. Within GSGUK, GSI has permission to calculate capital requirements using internal models at the solo and consolidated levels, while other entities including GSIB calculate capital requirements using the standardised approach.

For positions captured by GSI's model permission, the capital requirements for market risk are calculated using the following internal models: Value-at-Risk (VaR), Stressed VaR (SVaR), Incremental Risk Charge (IRC), and Comprehensive Risk Measure (CRM), which for PRA purposes is called the All Price Risk Measure (APRM) and is subject to a floor. In addition, Standardised Rules, in accordance with Title IV of Part Three of CRR, are used to calculate capital requirements for market risk for certain securitised and non-securitised positions by applying risk-weighting factors predetermined by regulators, to positions after applicable netting is performed. RWAs for market risk are the sum of each of these measures multiplied by 12.5. An overview of each of these measures is provided below.

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Regulatory VaR**

VaR is the potential loss in value of trading assets and liabilities, as well as certain investments, loans, and other financial assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value, due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon with a specified confidence level. For both risk management purposes (positions subject to VaR limits) and regulatory capital calculations, the firm uses a single VaR model which captures risks, including those related to interest rates, equity prices, currency rates and commodity prices. As such, VaR facilitates comparison across portfolios of different risk characteristics. VaR also captures the diversification of aggregated risk at the firmwide level.

VaR used for risk management purposes differs from VaR used for regulatory capital requirements (Regulatory VaR) due to differences in time horizons, confidence levels, and the scope of positions on which VaR is calculated. For risk management purposes, a 95% one-day VaR is used, whereas for regulatory capital requirements, a 99% 10-day VaR is used to determine Market RWAs and a 99% one-day VaR is used to determine Regulatory VaR exceptions. The 10-day VaR is based on scaling the 1-day VaR by the square root of 10.

VaR is calculated daily using historical simulations with full valuation of market factors, capturing both general and specific market risk. VaR is calculated at a positional level based on simultaneously shocking the relevant market risk factors for that position, using a mix of absolute and relative returns. We sample from five years of historical data to generate the scenarios for our VaR calculation. The historical data is weighted so that the relative importance of data reduces over time. This gives greater importance to more recent observations and reflects current asset volatilities.

In accordance with the CRR market risk regulatory capital requirements, we evaluate the accuracy of our VaR model through daily backtesting. The results of the backtesting determine the size of the VaR multiplier used to compute RWAs.

Table 16 presents our period end, maximum, minimum and average daily GSGUK and GSI 99% 10-day Regulatory VaR over the six-month period ended May 2020.

Stressed VaR

SVaR is the potential loss in value of trading assets and liabilities, as well as certain investments, loans, and other financial assets and liabilities accounted for at fair value, during a period of significant market stress. SVaR is based on a full valuation at a 99% confidence level over a 10-day time horizon using market data inputs from a continuous 12-month period of stress. The 10-day SVaR is calculated as the 1-day SVaR scaled by the square root of 10. We identify the stressed period by comparing VaR using market data inputs from different historical periods.

Table 16 presents our period end, maximum, minimum and average weekly GSGUK and GSI 99% 10-day SVaR over the six-month period ended May 2020.

Incremental Risk

Incremental risk is the potential loss in value of non-securitised positions due to the default or credit migration of issuers of financial instruments over a one-year time horizon. As required by the CRR market risk regulatory capital rules, this measure is calculated at a 99.9% confidence level over a one-year time horizon. It uses a multi-factor model assuming a constant level of risk. When assessing the risk, we take into account market and issuer-specific concentration, credit quality, liquidity horizons and correlation of default and migration risk. The liquidity horizon is calculated based upon the size of exposures and the speed at which we can reduce risk by hedging or unwinding positions, given our experience during a historical stress period, and is subject to the prescribed regulatory minimum. The average liquidity horizon for GSI as of May 2020 was 3.4 months.

Table 16 presents our period end, maximum, minimum and average of the weekly GSGUK and GSI Incremental Risk measure over the six-month period ended May 2020.

Comprehensive Risk

Comprehensive risk is the potential loss in value, due to price risk and defaults, within credit correlation positions. A credit correlation position is defined as a securitisation position for which all or substantially all of the value of the underlying exposures is based on the credit quality of a single company for which a two-way market exists, or indices based on such exposures for which a two-way market exists, or hedges of these positions (which are typically not securitisation positions).

Pillar 3 Disclosures

As required under the CRR market risk capital rules, the Comprehensive Risk Measure comprises a model-based measure, which is subject to a floor based on the minimum capital requirement of 8% of RWA calculated under the standard rules for the portfolio. The model-based measure is calculated at a 99.9% confidence level over a one-year time horizon applying a constant level of risk. The model comprehensively covers price risks including nonlinear price effects and takes into account contractual structure of cash flows, the effect of multiple defaults, credit spread risk, volatility of implied correlation, recovery rate volatility and basis risk. The liquidity horizon is based upon our experience during a historical stress period, subject to the prescribed regulatory minimum.

As of May 2020, GSGUK's credit correlation positions subject to the Comprehensive Risk Measure had a fair value under US GAAP of \$65 million in net assets and \$216 million in net liabilities, and under UK GAAP of \$349 million in net assets and \$451 million in net liabilities.

Table 16 presents the period end, maximum, minimum and average of the GSGUK and GSI Comprehensive Risk Measure for the over the six-month period ended May 2020.

Table 16: IMA Values for Trading Portfolios

		As of May 2020	
		GSGUK	GSI
<i>\$ in millions</i>			
VaR (10 day 99%)			
1	Maximum value	801	801
2	Average value	300	300
3	Minimum value	127	127
4	Period end	326	326
SVaR (10 day 99%)			
5	Maximum value	852	852
6	Average value	608	608
7	Minimum value	358	358
8	Period end	371	371
IRC (99.9%)			
9	Maximum value	1,062	1,062
10	Average value	901	901
11	Minimum value	712	712
12	Period end	1,034	1,034
Comprehensive risk capital charge (99.9%)			
13	Maximum value	209	209
14	Average value	112	112
15	Minimum value	40	40
16	Period end	66	66

Table 17: Market Risk under the IMA

The table below presents the capital requirements and RWA under the IMA for Market Risk as of May 31, 2020.

		As of May 2020			
		RWAs		Capital requirements	
		GSGUK	GSI	GSGUK	GSI
1	VaR (higher of values a and b)	\$ 16,811	\$ 16,811	\$ 1,345	\$ 1,345
(a)	Previous day's VaR (Article 365(1) of the CRR (VaRt-1))			326	326
(b)	Average of the daily VaR (Article 365(1)) of the CRR on each of the preceding 60 business days (VaRavg) x multiplication factor (mc) in accordance with Article 366 of the CRR			1,345	1,345
2	SVaR (higher of values a and b)	\$ 16,950	\$ 16,950	\$ 1,356	\$ 1,356
(a)	Latest SVaR (Article 365(2) of the CRR (SVaRt-1))			371	371
(b)	Average of the SVaR (Article 365(2) of the CRR) during the preceding 60 business days (SVaRavg) x multiplication factor (ms) (Article 366 of the CRR)			1,356	1,356
3	IRC (higher of values a and b)	\$ 12,931	\$ 12,931	\$ 1,034	\$ 1,034
(a)	Most recent IRC value (incremental default and migration risks calculated in accordance with Article 370 and Article 371 of the CRR)			1,034	1,034
(b)	Average of the IRC number over the preceding 12 weeks			819	819
4	Comprehensive risk measure (higher of values a, b and c)	\$ 1,653	\$ 1,653	\$ 132	\$ 132
(a)	Most recent risk number for the correlation trading portfolio (Article 377 of the CRR)			66	66
(b)	Average of the risk number for the correlation trading portfolio over the preceding 12 weeks			132	132
(c)	8% of the own funds requirement in the standardised approach on the most recent risk number for the correlation trading portfolio (Article 338(4) of the CRR)			37	37
5	Other	\$ 30,182	\$ 30,182	\$ 2,415	\$ 2,415
6	Total	\$ 78,527	\$ 78,527	\$ 6,282	\$ 6,282

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 18: RWA Flow Statements of Market Risk Exposures under the IMA

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	VaR	SVaR	IRC	Comprehensive risk measure	Other	Total RWAs	Total capital requirements
1 RWAs at previous quarter end	\$ 5,963	\$ 24,353	\$ 12,151	\$ 1,191	\$ 11,015	\$ 54,673	\$ 4,374
1a Regulatory adjustment	(4,267)	(16,419)	(2,321)	-	(3,561)	(26,568)	(2,125)
1b RWAs at the previous quarter-end	\$ 1,696	\$ 7,934	\$ 9,830	\$ 1,191	\$ 7,454	\$ 28,105	\$ 2,249
2 Movement in risk levels	2,346	(3,332)	3,101	(372)	7,326	9,069	725
3 Model updates/changes	30	30	-	-	-	60	5
8a RWAs at the end of the reporting period	\$ 4,072	\$ 4,632	\$ 12,931	\$ 819	\$ 14,780	\$ 37,234	\$ 2,979
8b Regulatory adjustment	12,739	12,318	-	834	15,402	41,293	3,303
8 RWAs at the end of the reporting period	\$ 16,811	\$ 16,950	\$ 12,931	\$ 1,653	\$ 30,182	\$ 78,527	\$ 6,282

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	VaR	SVaR	IRC	Comprehensive risk measure	Other	Total RWAs	Total capital requirements
1 RWAs at previous quarter end	\$ 5,963	\$ 24,353	\$ 12,151	\$ 1,191	\$ 11,015	\$ 54,673	\$ 4,374
1a Regulatory adjustment	(4,267)	(16,419)	(2,321)	-	(3,561)	(26,568)	(2,125)
1b RWAs at the previous quarter-end	\$ 1,696	\$ 7,934	\$ 9,830	\$ 1,191	\$ 7,454	\$ 28,105	\$ 2,249
2 Movement in risk levels	2,346	(3,332)	3,101	(372)	7,326	9,069	725
3 Model updates/changes	30	30	-	-	-	60	5
8a RWAs at the end of the reporting period	\$ 4,072	\$ 4,632	\$ 12,931	\$ 819	\$ 14,780	\$ 37,234	\$ 2,979
8b Regulatory adjustment	12,739	12,318	-	834	15,402	41,293	3,303
8 RWAs at the end of the reporting period	\$ 16,811	\$ 16,950	\$ 12,931	\$ 1,653	\$ 30,182	\$ 78,527	\$ 6,282

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Model Review and Validation

The models discussed above, which are used to determine Regulatory VaR, SVaR, Incremental risk and Comprehensive risk, are independently reviewed, validated and approved by Model Risk.

These models are regularly reviewed and enhanced in order to incorporate changes in the composition of positions included in market risk measures, as well as variations in market conditions. Prior to implementing significant changes to our assumptions and/or models, Model Risk performs model validations. Significant changes to VaR and stress testing models are reviewed with the firm’s chief market risk officer, and approved by the Risk Governance Committee.

For information on Model Risk, see “Model Risk” in GSGUK’s 2019 annual Pillar 3 disclosures.

Regulatory VaR Backtesting Results

As required by the CRR market risk capital rules, we validate the accuracy of our Regulatory VaR models by backtesting the output of such models against daily loss results. The number of exceptions (that is, the number of overshootings based on comparing the higher of positional or actual losses to the corresponding 99% one-day Regulatory VaR) over the most recent 250 business days is used to determine the size of the VaR multiplier, which could increase from a minimum of three to a maximum of four, depending on the number of exceptions.


As defined in the CRR market risk capital rules, hypothetical net revenues for any given day represent the impact of that day’s price variation on the value of positions held at the close of business the previous day. As a consequence, these results exclude certain revenues associated with market-making businesses, such as bid/offer net revenues, which are more likely than not to be positive by their nature. In addition, hypothetical net revenues used in our Regulatory VaR backtesting relate only to positions which are included in Regulatory VaR and, as noted above, differ from positions included in our risk management VaR. This measure of hypothetical net revenues is used to evaluate the performance of the Regulatory VaR model and is not comparable to our actual daily net revenues. See “Risk Management — Market Risk Management” in Part I, Item 2 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the firm’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

GSI hypothetical losses observed on a single day exceeded our 99% one-day Regulatory VaR thrice during the four quarters preceding May 2020. Two of these three exceedances were driven by sudden and significant market moves on the back of uncertainty around COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020 and one exceedance was driven by sovereign credit spreads in October 2019. GSI actual losses observed on a single day exceeded our 99% one-day Regulatory VaR twice during the four quarters preceding May 2020. Both of these exceedances were driven by sudden and significant market moves on the back of uncertainty around COVID-19 pandemic in March 2020. Note that, although a one-day time horizon is used for backtesting purposes, a 10-day time horizon is used, as described earlier, to determine RWAs associated with Regulatory VaR.

The tables below present our 99% one-day Regulatory VaR and hypothetical and actual PnL during the previous 12 months.

Table 19: Comparison of VaR estimates with gains/losses

- GSI



The table below summarizes the number of reported excesses for GSI and GSIB for the previous 12 months.

Backtesting	Multiplier	Number of reported excesses	
		Hypothetical	Actual
GSI	3.00	3	2

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Stress Testing**

Stress testing is a method of determining the effect of various hypothetical stress scenarios on the firm and GSI and GSIB individually. Stress testing is used to examine risks of specific portfolios as well as the potential impact of significant risk exposures across GSI and GSIB. A variety of stress testing techniques is used to calculate the potential loss from a wide range of market moves on portfolios, including firmwide stress tests, sensitivity analysis and scenario analysis.

For a detailed description of the firm's stress testing practices, see "Risk Management – Market Risk Management – Risk Measures – Stress Testing" in Part I, Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the firm's Quarterly Report Form 10-Q.

The table below presents the components of own funds requirements under the standardised approach as of May 31, 2020.

Table 20: Market Risk under the Standardised Approach

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	RWAs			Capital Requirements		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
Outright products						
1 Interest rate risk (general and specific)	\$ 30,334	\$ 28,410	\$ 1,924	\$ 2,427	\$ 2,273	\$ 154
2 Equity risk (general and specific)	2,532	2,304	227	203	184	18
3 Foreign exchange risk	3,026	2,714	249	242	217	20
4 Commodity risk	1,780	1,447	-	142	116	-
4a Collective investment undertakings	587	587	-	47	47	-
Options						
6 Delta-plus method	3,034	3,031	3	243	243	0
8 Securitisation (specific risk)	2,469	2,469	-	197	197	-
9 Total	\$ 43,762	\$ 40,962	\$ 2,403	\$ 3,501	\$ 3,277	\$ 192

Interest Rate Sensitivity

Interest Rate Risk in the Trading Book

Our exposure to interest rate risk in our trading book arises mostly from positions held to support client market-making activities. These positions are accounted for at fair value and its interest rate risk is monitored as a component of Market risk. For additional information regarding interest rate risk, see “Risk Management – Market Risk Management” in Part I, Item 2 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the firm’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book

Our exposure to interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB) arises from differences in interest earned or paid as interest rates change, due to the reset characteristics of our assets and liabilities. Following the introduction of Instant Access Savings deposits via our Marcus by Goldman Sachs brand in 2018, this has resulted in an increase of IRRBB risk for GSIB. IRRBB risk may increase further as GSIB continues to focus on the expansion of its lending and deposit taking activities. However, our banking book activities’ exposure to movements in interest rates remains immaterial for GSGUK as at May 31, 2020.

For further information regarding asset-liability management, see “Risk Management – Liquidity Risk Management” in Part I, Item 2 “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” in the firm’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Operational Risk

Overview

Operational risk is the risk of an adverse outcome resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems or from external events. The firm's exposure to operational risk arises from routine processing errors, as well as extraordinary incidents, such as major systems failures or legal and regulatory matters.

Potential types of loss events related to internal and external operational risk include:

- Clients, products and business practices;
- Execution, delivery and process management;
- Business disruption and system failures;
- Employment practices and workplace safety;
- Damage to physical assets;
- Internal fraud; and
- External fraud.

Operational Risk, which is independent of the firm's revenue-producing units and reports to the firm's chief risk officer, has primary responsibility for developing and implementing a formalised framework for assessing, monitoring and managing operational risk with the goal of maintaining the firm's exposure to operational risk at levels that are within its risk appetite.

Operational Risk Management Process

The firm's process for managing operational risk includes the critical components of the risk management framework described in "Risk Management – Overview and Structure of Risk Management" in Part I, Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including a comprehensive data collection process, as well as firmwide policies and procedures, for operational risk events.

The firm combines top-down and bottom-up approaches to manage and measure operational risk. From a top-down perspective, senior management assesses firmwide and business-level operational risk profiles. From a bottom-up perspective, the first and second lines of defence are responsible for risk identification and risk management on a day-to-day basis, including escalating operational risks to senior management.

The firm maintains a comprehensive control framework designed to provide a well-controlled environment to minimise operational risks. The EMEA Operational Risk Committee provides oversight of the ongoing development and implementation of operational risk policies, framework and methodologies, with oversight from the directors of the firm, and monitors the effectiveness of operational risk management.

The firm's operational risk management framework is in part designed to comply with the operational risk measurement rules under the Capital Framework and has evolved based on the changing needs of its businesses and regulatory guidance.

The firm has established policies that require all employees to report and escalate operational risk events. When operational risk events are identified, the policies require that the events be documented and analysed to determine whether changes are required in the systems and/or processes to further mitigate the risk of future events.

The firm uses operational risk management applications to capture and organise operational risk event data and key metrics. One of the key risk identification and assessment tools is an operational risk and control self-assessment process, which is performed by managers across the firm. This process consists of the identification and rating of operational risks, on a forward-looking basis, and the related controls. The results from this process are analysed to evaluate operational risk exposures and identify businesses, activities or products with heightened levels of operational risk.

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Risk Measurement**

The firm measures operational risk exposure using both statistical modelling and scenario analyses, which involves qualitative and quantitative assessments of internal and external operational risk event data and internal control factors for each of our businesses. Operational risk measurement also incorporates an assessment of business environment factors, including:

- Evaluations of the complexity of business activities;
- The degree of automation in our processes;
- New activity information;
- The legal and regulatory environment; and
- Changes in the markets for our products and services, including the diversity and sophistication of our customers and counterparties.

The results from these scenario analyses are used to monitor changes in operational risk and to determine business lines

that may have heightened exposure to operational risk. These analyses are used in the determination of the appropriate level of operational risk capital to hold.

Model Review and Validation

The statistical models used to measure operational risk exposure are independently reviewed, validated and approved by Model Risk.

Capital Requirements

The consolidated operational risk capital requirements for GSGUK, GSI and GSIB are calculated under the Standardised Approach in accordance with the CRR.

Table 21: Operational Risk Capital Requirement

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
Standardised Approach	\$ 1,361	\$ 1,292	\$ 46

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Leverage Ratio

GSGUK is required to monitor and disclose its leverage ratio using the CRR's definition of exposure as amended by the European Commission Leverage Ratio Delegated Act. In June 2019, the European Commission published updates to the CRR to implement a 3% minimum leverage ratio requirement for certain E.U. financial institutions, including GSGUK. This leverage ratio compares CRR's definition of Tier 1 capital to a measure of leverage exposure, defined as the sum of certain assets plus certain off-balance-sheet exposures (which include a measure of derivatives, securities financing transactions, commitments and guarantees), less Tier 1 capital deductions. The required minimum leverage ratio will become effective for GSGUK on 27 June 2021. This leverage ratio is based on our current interpretation and understanding of this rule and may evolve

as the interpretation and application of this rule is discussed with our regulators.

Table 22: Leverage Ratio

	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
Tier 1 Capital	\$ 37,717	\$ 33,517	\$ 3,083
Leverage Ratio Exposure	\$ 820,111	\$ 777,470	\$ 43,455
Leverage Ratio	4.6%	4.3%	7.1%

The following tables present further information on the leverage ratio. Table 23 reconciles the exposure measure to the balance sheets of GSGUK, GSI and GSIB. Table 24 breaks down the exposures from on-balance sheet assets by trading and banking book. Table 25 gives further details on the adjustments and drivers of the leverage ratio.

Table 23: Summary Reconciliation of Accounting Assets and Leverage Ratio Exposures

	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
Total assets as per balance sheet	\$ 1,372,445	\$ 1,332,566	\$ 65,307
Adjustment for entities which are consolidated for accounting purposes but are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation	-	-	-
Adjustment for fiduciary assets recognised on the balance sheet pursuant to the applicable accounting framework but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure in accordance with Article 429(13) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 "CRR"	-	-	-
Adjustments for derivative financial instruments ¹	(591,173)	(590,789)	(89)
Adjustments for securities financing transactions ¹	22,380	23,058	1,139
Adjustment for off-balance sheet items ¹	18,609	13,948	4,661
Adjustment for intragroup exposures excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure in accordance with Article 429 (7) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 ¹	-	(67)	(25,644)
Adjustment for exposures excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure in accordance with Article 429 (14) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	-	-	-
Other adjustments	(2,150)	(1,246)	(1,919)
Total leverage ratio exposure	\$ 820,111	\$ 777,470	\$ 43,455

1. Differences between the accounting values recognised as assets on the balance sheet and the leverage ratio exposure values. A further breakdown of these amounts can be found in Table 26.

Table 24: On-Balance Sheet Exposures

	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
Total on-balance sheet exposures¹ (excluding derivatives, SFTs, and exempted exposures), of which:	\$ 185,560	\$ 164,294	\$ 22,072
Trading book exposures	\$ 136,561	\$ 129,964	\$ 3,352
Banking book exposures, of which:	\$ 48,999	\$ 34,330	\$ 18,720
Covered bonds	-	-	-
Exposures treated as sovereigns	29,645	19,917	9,728
Exposures to regional governments, MDB, international organisations and PSE not treated as sovereigns	-	-	-
Institutions	5,187	8,930	942
Secured by mortgages of immovable properties	-	-	87
Retail exposures	-	-	18
Corporate	10,820	4,905	6,657
Exposures in default	206	193	13
Other exposures	3,141	385	1,275

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 25: Leverage Ratio Common Disclosure

	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
<i>\$ in millions</i>			
On-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs)			
On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives, SFTs and fiduciary assets, but including collateral)	\$ 233,156	\$ 211,702	\$ 26,400
Asset amounts deducted in determining Tier 1 capital	(2,150)	(1,932)	(161)
Total on-balance sheet exposures¹ (excluding derivatives, SFTs and fiduciary assets)	\$ 231,006	\$ 209,770	\$ 26,239
Derivative exposures			
Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (ie net of eligible cash variation margin)	47,382	47,209	151
Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions (mark-to-market method)	312,825	313,390	974
Exposure determined under Original Exposure Method	-	-	-
Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from the balance sheet assets pursuant to the applicable accounting framework	-	-	-
Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions	(46,093)	(45,838)	(255)
Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures	(8,653)	(8,653)	-
Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives	949,722	948,120	1,602
Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives	(901,745)	(900,143)	(1,602)
Total derivative exposures	\$ 353,438	\$ 354,085	\$ 870
Securities financing transaction exposures			
Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sales accounting transactions	250,739	234,358	37,948
Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets	(56,060)	(56,060)	-
Counterparty credit risk exposure for SFT assets	22,380	23,058	1,138
Derogation for SFTs: Counterparty credit risk exposure in accordance with Article 429b (4) and 222 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	-	-	-
Agent transaction exposures	-	-	-
Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared SFT exposure	-	-	-
Total securities financing transaction exposures	\$ 217,059	\$ 201,356	\$ 39,086
Other off-balance sheet exposures			
Off-balance sheet exposures at gross notional amount	89,350	73,330	16,021
Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts	(70,742)	(59,382)	(11,360)
Other off-balance sheet exposures	\$ 18,608	\$ 13,948	\$ 4,661
Exempted exposures in accordance with CRR Article 429 (7) and (14) (on and off balance sheet)			
Exemption of intragroup exposures (solo basis) in accordance with Article 429(7) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (on and off balance sheet)	-	(1,689)	(27,401)
Exposures exempted in accordance with Article 429 (14) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 (on and off balance sheet)	-	-	-
Capital and total exposures			
Tier 1 capital	\$ 37,717	\$ 33,517	\$ 3,083
Total leverage ratio exposures	\$ 820,111	\$ 777,470	\$ 43,455
Leverage ratio			
Leverage ratio	4.6%	4.4%	7.1%
Choice on transitional arrangements and amount of derecognised fiduciary items			
Choice on transitional arrangements for the definition of the capital measure	-	-	-
Amount of derecognised fiduciary items in accordance with Article 429(11) of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013	-	-	-

¹ The On Balance Sheet Exposures in Table 22 include cash collateral posted on derivative which is excluded from Table 21 in accordance with the European Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2016/200

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Factors impacting the Leverage Ratio**

The leverage ratio has decreased from 4.7% as of February 2020 to 4.6% as of May 2020. This was primarily due to an increase in on balance sheet leverage exposures partially offset by decrease in off balance sheet leverage exposures.

Risk of Excessive Leverage

The risk of excessive leverage is the risk resulting from a vulnerability due to leverage or contingent leverage that may require unintended corrective measures to our business plan, including distressed selling of assets which might result in losses or in valuation adjustments to our remaining assets.

The GSI and GSIB Asset and Liability Committees (GSI and GSIB ALCOs) are the primary governance committees for the management of the UK material subsidiaries' balance sheets, and are responsible for maintaining leverage ratios in accordance with the levels expressed in each entity's risk appetite statement.

We monitor the leverage ratio as calculated above and have processes in place to dynamically manage our assets and liabilities. These processes include:

- Monthly leverage ratio monitoring is conducted for GSI and GSIB. Leverage ratio monitoring thresholds have been established for GSI and GSIB and reported to the respective ALCOs, CROs, CFOs, CEOs, Risk Committees and Boards depending on size of movement.
- Quarterly leverage ratio planning which combines our projected leverage ratio assets (on- and off-balance sheet) and Tier 1 capital of GSGUK, GSI and GSIB.
- Potential new transactions which could have a material impact on GSGUK's capital and/or leverage position are escalated to and approved by Corporate Treasury, and by Controllers and other managers from independent control and support functions.

Capital Adequacy

Overview

Capital adequacy is of critical importance to us. The firm has in place a comprehensive capital management policy that provides a framework, defines objectives and establishes guidelines to assist us in maintaining the appropriate level and composition of capital in both business-as-usual and stressed conditions.

We determine the appropriate amount and composition of capital by considering multiple factors including current and future regulatory capital requirements, results of capital planning and stress testing processes, resolution capital models and other factors such as rating agency guidelines, subsidiary capital requirements, the business environment and conditions in the financial markets.

Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process

We perform an ICAAP with the objective of ensuring that GSGUK is appropriately capitalised relative to the risks in our business. The ICAAP is a comprehensive assessment of the risks to which we are or may be exposed and covers both the risks for which we consider capital to be an appropriate mitigant, and those for which we consider mitigants other than capital to be appropriate.

As part of our ICAAP, we perform an internal risk-based capital assessment. We evaluate capital adequacy based on the result of our internal risk-based capital assessment, which includes the results of stress tests, and our regulatory capital ratios. Stress testing is an integral component of our ICAAP. It is designed to measure our estimated performance under various stressed market conditions and assists us in analysing whether GSGUK holds an appropriate amount of capital relative to the risks of our businesses. Our goal is to hold sufficient capital to ensure we remain adequately capitalised after experiencing a severe stress event. Our assessment of capital adequacy is viewed in tandem with our assessment of liquidity adequacy and is integrated into our overall risk management structure, governance and policy framework.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Own Funds Template

The table below presents further information on the detailed capital position of GSGUK, GSI and GSIB, in accordance with the format prescribed by the Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 1423/2013.

Table 26: Own Funds Disclosure

	As of May 2020		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
<i>\$ in millions</i>			
Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	\$ 2,707	\$ 6,166	\$ 2,157
Paid up capital instruments	2,135	598	63
Share premium	572	5,568	2,094
Retained earnings	30,655	21,502	1,179
Accumulated other comprehensive income (and other reserves, to include unrealised gains and losses under the applicable accounting standards)	206	177	10
Less unaudited profit for the financial period	(1,866)	(349)	(102)
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital before regulatory adjustments	\$ 31,702	\$ 27,496	\$ 3,244
Additional value adjustments	(615)	(525)	(4)
Intangible assets	(327)	(324)	(3)
Negative amounts resulting from the calculation of expected loss amounts	(750)	(643)	(107)
Gains or losses on liabilities valued at fair value resulting from changes in own credit standing	(244)	(276)	(10)
Deferred tax assets	(37)	-	(37)
Defined-benefit pension fund assets	(312)	(312)	-
Other adjustments ¹	-	(199)	-
Total regulatory adjustments to Common equity Tier 1 (CET1)	\$ (2,285)	\$ (2,279)	\$ (161)
Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) capital	\$ 29,417	\$ 25,217	\$ 3,083
Additional Tier 1 (AT1) capital	8,300	8,300	-
Tier 1 capital (T1 = CET1 + AT1)	\$ 37,717	\$ 33,517	\$ 3,083
Capital instruments and the related share premium accounts	6,503	5,377	826
Qualifying own funds instruments included in consolidated T2 capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties	-	-	-
Of which: Instruments issued by subsidiaries subject to phase out	-	-	-
Non qualifying T2 capital instruments	-	-	-
Tier 2 (T2) capital before regulatory adjustments	\$ 6,503	\$ 5,377	\$ 826
Other deductions	\$ (58)	\$ (96)	-
Tier 2 (T2) capital	\$ 6,445	\$ 5,281	\$ 826
Total capital (TC = T1 + T2)	\$ 44,162	\$ 38,798	\$ 3,909
Total risk weighted assets	\$ 270,593	\$ 250,478	\$ 17,652
Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	10.9%	10.1%	17.5%
Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	13.9%	13.4%	17.5%
Total capital (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	16.3%	15.5%	22.1%
Institution specific buffer requirement (CET1 requirement in accordance with article 92 (1) (a) plus capital conservation and countercyclical buffer requirements, plus systemic risk buffer, plus the systemically important institution buffer (G-SII or O-SII buffer), expressed as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	7.03%	7.03%	7.03%
Of which: Capital conservation buffer requirement	2.50%	2.50%	2.50%
Of which: Counter cyclical buffer requirement	0.03%	0.03%	0.03%
Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk exposure amount)	3.5%	2.8%	9.8%
Direct and indirect holdings of the capital of financial sector entities where the institution does not have a significant investment in those entities (amount below 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)	\$ 1,618	\$ 1,465	-
Direct and indirect holdings by the institution of the CET 1 instruments of financial sector entities where the institution has a significant investment in those entities (amount below 10% threshold and net of eligible short positions)	-	-	-

1. Other Adjustments represent regulatory adjustments for foreseeable charges

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Capital and MREL Instruments

The following table summarises the main features of capital and MREL instruments for GSGUK as of May 2020.

Table 27: GSGUK Capital and MREL Instruments' Main Features Template

	As of May 2020							
\$ in millions	GSGUK	GSGUK	GSGUK	GSGUK	GSGUK	GSGUK	GSGUK	GSGUK
Issuer								
Unique Identifier (e.g. CUSIP, ISIN, or Bloomberg identifier for private placement)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Public or private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	N/A	N/A
Governing law(s) of the instrument	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK
Contractual recognition of write down and conversion powers of resolution authorities	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Transitional CRR rules	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2	Eligible Liability	Eligible Liability
Post-transitional CRR rules	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2	Eligible Liability	Eligible Liability
Eligible at solo/(sub-) consolidated/solo&(sub-) consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated
Instrument type	Ordinary Shares	Deeply Subordinated Undated Additional Tier 1 Notes	Preference Shares	Preference Shares	Subordinated Debt	Subordinated Debt	Senior debt	Senior debt
Amount recognised in regulatory capital	2,135	8,300	300	2,000	3,528	675	0	0
Nominal amount of instrument	2,135	3,000; 2,800; 2,500	300	2,000	3,528	675	13,076	3,400
Issue Price	2,135	\$1,000,000 per Note	\$1.00 per Preference Share	\$1.00 per Preference Share	3,528	675	13,076	3,400
Redemption Price	2,135	\$1,000,000 per Note	\$1.00 per Preference Share	\$1.00 per Preference Share	3,528	675	13,076	3,400
Accounting Classification	Shareholders' Equity	Shareholders' Equity	Amortised Cost	Amortised Cost	Amortised Cost	Amortised Cost	Amortised Cost	Amortised Cost
Original date of issuance ¹	Aug 20, 2013	June 27, 2017; June 28, 2017; November 28, 2018	June 27, 2018	July 11, 2019	Aug 1, 2005	Mar 20, 2013	Mar 6, 2012	Jan 21, 2020
Perpetual or dated	Perpetual	Perpetual	Dated	Dated	Dated	Dated	Dated	Dated
Original maturity date ²	No maturity	No maturity	July 11, 2029	July 11, 2029	Sep 9, 2025	Dec 26, 2024	Mar 6, 2022	Mar 19, 2030
Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval	N/A	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
Option call date, contingent call dates and redemption amount	N/A	N/A	With notice and PRA approval but not earlier than five years from the issue date	With notice and PRA approval but not earlier than five years from the issue date	No	No	N/A	N/A
Subsequent call dates, if applicable	N/A	N/A	Daily	Daily	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Fixed or floating dividend / coupon	N/A	Fixed	Floating	Floating	Floating	Floating	Floating	Floating
Coupon rate and any related index ³	N/A	8.55 per cent.; 8.55 per cent.; 8.67 per cent.	CoF + LTDS + 65 bps	CoF + LTDS + 65 bps	CoF + LTDS + 100bps	CoF + LTDS + 100bps	CoF + LTDS + 40bps	CoF + LTDS + 40bps
Existence of a dividend stopper	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of timing)	Fully Discretionary	Fully Discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of amount)	Fully Discretionary	Fully Discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory
Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	N/A	N/A	No	No	N/A	N/A	No	No	No
Noncumulative or cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative
Convertible or non-convertible	N/A	Non-convertible	Convertible	Convertible	Convertible	Convertible	Convertible	Convertible	Convertible
If convertible, conversion trigger(s)	N/A	N/A	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger
If convertible, fully or partially	N/A	N/A	Fully	Fully	Fully	Fully	Fully	Fully	Fully
If convertible, conversion rate	N/A	N/A	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE
If convertible, mandatory or optional conversion	N/A	N/A	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional	Optional
If convertible, specify instrument type convertible into	N/A	N/A	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares
If convertible, specify issuer of instrument it converts to	N/A	N/A	GSGUKL	GSGUKL	GSGUKL	GSGUKL	GSGUKL	GSGUKL	GSGUKL
Write-down features	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If write-down, write-down trigger(s)	N/A	Regulatory Trigger Event ⁴ and Resolution trigger	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If write-down, full or partial	N/A	Always fully (to \$0.01 per Note)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If write-down, permanent or temporary	N/A	Permanent	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If temporary write-down, description of write-up mechanism	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Type of subordination (only for eligible liabilities)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Contractual	Contractual
Ranking of the instrument in normal insolvency proceedings	Equity	Perpetual unsecured securities	Preference shares	Preference shares	Subordinated loan facility	Subordinated loan facility	Senior loan	Senior loan	Senior loan
Position in subordination hierarchy in liquidation (specify instrument type immediately senior to instrument)	Preference shares	Preference Shares	Unsecured and subordinated debt	Unsecured and subordinated debt	Unsecured and unsubordinated debt	Unsecured and unsubordinated debt	Unsecured and senior debt	Unsecured and senior debt	Unsecured and senior debt
Non-compliant transitioned features	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
If yes, specify non-compliant features	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Link to the full term and conditions of the instrument (signposting) ⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

1. First date of ordinary share issuance.

2. The original maturity date has been extended following amendment and the current maturity date is reflected in the table.

3. CoF represents Cost of Funds (the US Federal Reserve Funds Rate) and LTDS represents Long Term Debt Spread (the Goldman Sachs Weighted Average Cost of Debt).

4. Regulatory Trigger Event will be deemed to have occurred at any time where: (i) the CET1 Ratio of the GSGUKL and its consolidated subsidiaries as calculated by GSGUKL or the PRA is less than 7 per cent.; and or (ii) the CET1 Ratio of GSI as calculated by GSGUKL or the PRA is less than 7 per cent.

5. Instruments are internally issued as such no prospectus is available.

Key Changes during the Period

On 21 January 2020, GSGUK as borrower entered into a US\$1,400,000,000 senior loan agreement with Goldman

Sachs Funding LLC as lender. On 19 March 2020, this senior loan agreement was upsized to US\$3,400,000,000.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

The following table summarises the main features of capital instruments for GSI and GSIB as of May 2020.

Table 28: GSI and GSIB Capital Instruments' Main Features Template

Issuer	\$ in millions						As of May 2020	
	GSI	GSI	GSI	GSI	GSI	GSIB	GSIB	
Unique Identifier (e.g. CUSIP, ISIN, or Bloomberg identifier for private placement)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Public or private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	Private placement	
Governing law(s) of the instrument	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	UK	
Contractual recognition of write down and conversion powers of resolution authorities	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Transitional CRR rules	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2	CET1	Tier 2	
Post-transitional CRR rules	CET1	Additional Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 2	Tier 2	CET1	Tier 2	
Eligible at solo/(sub-) consolidated/solo&(sub-) consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	Consolidated	
Instrument type	Ordinary Shares	Deeply Subordinated Undated Additional Tier 1 Notes	Sub-ordinated Debt	Sub-ordinated Debt	Sub-ordinated Debt	Ordinary Shares	Sub-ordinated Debt	
Amount recognised in regulatory capital	582	8,300	4,252	675	450	63	826	
Nominal amount of instrument	582	3,300; 2,500; 2,500	4,252	675	450	63	826	
Issue Price	582	\$1,000,000 per Note	4,252	675	450	63	826	
Redemption Price	582	\$1,000,000 per Note	4,252	675	450	63	826	
Accounting Classification	Shareholder's Equity	Shareholder's Equity	Amortised Cost	Amortised Cost	Amortised Cost	Shareholder's Equity	Amortised Cost	
Original date of issuance ¹	May 18, 1988	June 27, 2017; June 28, 2017; 28 November, 2018	July 31, 2003	June 26, 2012	Mar 20, 2013	Jun 28, 1973	Sep 9, 2015	
Perpetual or dated	Perpetual	Perpetual	Dated	Dated	Dated	Perpetual	Dated	
Original maturity date ²	No maturity	No maturity	Sep 9, 2025	Dec 26, 2024	Dec 26, 2024	No maturity	10 years from agreement	
Issuer call subject to prior supervisory approval	N/A	No	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Option call date, contingent call dates and redemption amount	N/A	N/A	No	No	No	N/A	No	
Subsequent call dates, if applicable	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Fixed or floating dividend / coupon	N/A	Fixed	Floating	Floating	Floating	N/A	Floating	
Coupon rate and any related index ³	N/A	8.55 per cent.; 8.55 per cent.; 8.67 per cent.	CoF + LTDS + 100bps	CoF + LTDS + 100bps	CoF + LTDS + 100bps	N/A	CoF + 341bps	
Existence of a dividend stopper	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of timing)	Fully discretionary	Fully Discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Fully discretionary	Mandatory	
Fully discretionary, partially discretionary or mandatory (in terms of amount)	Fully discretionary	Fully Discretionary	Mandatory	Mandatory	Mandatory	Fully discretionary	Mandatory	

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Existence of step up or other incentive to redeem	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Noncumulative or cumulative	Non-cumulative	Non-cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative	Cumulative	Non-cumulative	Cumulative
Convertible or non-convertible	N/A	Non-Convertible	Convertible	Convertible	Convertible	N/A	Non-Convertible
If convertible, conversion trigger(s)	N/A	N/A	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger	Resolution trigger	N/A	N/A
If convertible, fully or partially	N/A	Non-convertible	Fully	Fully	Fully	N/A	N/A
If convertible, conversion rate	N/A	N/A	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	Conversion rate to be determined by the BoE	N/A	N/A
If convertible, mandatory or optional conversion	N/A	N/A	Optional	Optional	Optional	N/A	N/A
If convertible, specify instrument type convertible into	N/A	N/A	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares	Ordinary Shares	N/A	N/A
If convertible, specify issuer of instrument it converts to	N/A	N/A	GSI	GSI	GSI	N/A	N/A
Write-down features	N/A	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If write-down, write-down trigger(s)	N/A	Regulatory Trigger Event ⁴ and Resolution trigger	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If write-down, full or partial	N/A	Always fully (to \$0.01 per Note)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If write-down, permanent or temporary	N/A	Permanent	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
If temporary write-down, description of write-up mechanism	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Type of subordination (only for eligible liabilities)	N/A	Contractual	Contractual	Contractual	Contractual	N/A	Contractual
Ranking of the instrument in normal insolvency proceedings	Equity	Perpetual unsecured securities	Subordinated loan facility	Subordinated loan facility	Subordinated loan facility	Equity	Subordinated loan facility
Position in subordination hierarchy in liquidation (specify instrument type immediately senior to instrument)	Preference shares	Preference Shares	Unsecured and unsubordinated debt	Unsecured and unsubordinated debt	Unsecured and unsubordinated debt	Preference shares	Unsecured and unsubordinated debt
Non-compliant transitioned features	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
If yes, specify non-compliant features	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Link to the full term and conditions of the instrument (signposting) ⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

1. First date of ordinary share issuance.

2. The original maturity date has been extended following amendment and the current maturity date is reflected in the table.

3. CoF represents Cost of Funds (the US Federal Reserve Funds Rate) and LTDS represents Long Term Debt Spread (the Goldman Sachs Weighted Average Cost of Debt).

4. Regulatory Trigger Event will be deemed to have occurred at any time where: (i) the CET1 Ratio of the GSGUKL and its consolidated subsidiaries as calculated by GSGUKL or the PRA is less than 7 per cent.; and or (ii) the CET1 Ratio of GSI as calculated by GSGUKL or the PRA is less than 7 per cent.

5. Instruments are internally issued as such no prospectus is available.

Liquidity Risk Management

Disclosure of the information required under article 435 of the CRR, including those detailed in the EBA Guidelines on liquidity risk management, has been made under separate disclosure on June 30, 2020.

The liquidity risk management disclosure for GSGUK, published on the firm's website adjacent to this document, can be accessed via the following link:

<http://www.goldmansachs.com/disclosures/index.html>

Cautionary Note on Forward-Looking Statements

We have included in these disclosures, and our management may make, statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements." Forward-looking statements are not historical facts or statements of current conditions, but instead represent only our beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside our control. These statements include statements other than historical information or statements of current conditions.

It is possible that our actual results and financial condition may differ, possibly materially, from the anticipated results and financial condition indicated in these forward-looking statements. Important factors that could cause our actual results and financial condition to differ from those indicated in these statements include, among others, those discussed in "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A in the firm's 2019 Form 10-K as well as Part II, Item 1A in the firm's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Glossary

- **Advanced Internal Ratings-Based (AIRB).** The AIRB approach of CRR provides a methodology for banks, subject to supervisory approval, to use various risk parameters to determine the EAD and risk-weights for regulatory capital calculations. Other risk parameters used in the determination of risk weights are each counterparty's Probability of Default (PD), Loss Given Default (LGD) and the effective maturity of the trade or portfolio of trades.
- **Central Counterparty (CCP).** A counterparty, such as a clearing house, that facilitates trades between counterparties.
- **Comprehensive Risk.** The potential loss in value, due to price risk and defaults, for credit correlation positions. Comprehensive risk consists of a modelled measure which is calculated at a 99.9% confidence level over a one-year time horizon, subject to a floor which is 8% of the standardised specific risk add-on.
- **Credit Correlation Position.** A securitisation position for which all or substantially all of the value of the underlying exposures is based on the credit quality of a single company for which a two-way market exists, or indices based on such exposures for which a two-way market exists, or hedges of these positions (which are typically not securitisation positions).
- **Credit Risk.** The potential for loss due to the default or deterioration in credit quality of a counterparty (e.g., an OTC derivatives counterparty or a borrower) or an issuer of securities or other instruments we hold.
- **Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA).** An adjustment applied to uncollateralised OTC derivatives to cover the risk of mark-to-market losses of bilateral credit risk (i.e. counterparty and own) in uncollateralised derivatives.
- **Debt Valuation Adjustment (DVA).** An adjustment applied to debt held at fair value representing the mark-to-market of unilateral own credit risk in unsecured debt held at fair value.
- **Default.** A default is considered to have occurred when either or both of the two following events have taken place: (i) we consider that the obligor is unlikely to pay its credit obligations to us in full; or (ii) the obligor has defaulted on a payment and/or is past due more than 90 days on any material Wholesale credit obligation, 180 days on residential mortgage obligations or 120 days on other retail obligations.
- **Default Risk.** The risk of loss on a position that could result from failure of an obligor to make timely payments of principal or interest on its debt obligation, and the risk of loss that could result from bankruptcy, insolvency, or similar proceedings.
- **Effective Expected Positive Exposure (EEPE).** The time-weighted average of non-declining positive credit exposure over the EE simulation. EEPE is used in accordance with the IMM as the exposure measure that is then risk weighted to determine counterparty risk capital requirements.
- **Event Risk.** The risk of loss on equity or hybrid equity positions as a result of a financial event, such as the announcement or occurrence of a company merger, acquisition, spin-off, or dissolution.
- **Expected Exposure (EE).** The expected value of the probability distribution of non-negative credit risk exposures to a counterparty at any specified future date before the maturity date of the longest term transaction in a netting set.
- **Exposure at Default (EAD).** The exposure amount that is risk weighted for regulatory capital calculations. For on-balance-sheet assets, such as receivables and cash, EAD is generally based on the balance sheet value. For the calculation of EAD for off-balance-sheet exposures, including commitments and guarantees, an equivalent exposure amount is calculated based on the notional amount of each transaction multiplied by a credit conversion factor designed to estimate the net additions to funded exposures that would be likely to occur over a one-year horizon, assuming the obligor were to default. For substantially all of the counterparty credit risk arising from OTC derivatives, exchange-traded derivatives and securities financing transactions, internal models calculate the distribution of exposure upon which the EAD calculation is based.
- **Idiosyncratic Risk.** The risk of loss in the value of a position that arises from changes in risk factors unique to that position.
- **Incremental Risk.** The potential loss in value of non-securitised inventory positions due to the default or credit migration of issuers of financial instruments over a one-year time horizon. This measure is calculated at a 99.9% confidence level over a one-year time horizon using a multi-factor model.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

- **Internal Models Methodology (IMM).** The IMM establishes a methodology for entities to use their internal models to estimate exposures arising from OTC derivatives, securities financing transactions and cleared transactions, subject to qualitative and quantitative requirements and supervisory approval.
- **Loss Given Default (LGD).** An estimate of the economic loss rate if a default occurs during economic downturn conditions.
- **Market Risk.** The risk of loss in the value of our inventory, as well as certain other financial assets and financial liabilities, due to changes in market conditions.
- **Operational Risk.** The risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, systems or from external events.
- **Other Systemically Important Institutions.** Institutions identified by national regulators as those whose failure or malfunction could potentially lead to serious negative consequences for the domestic financial systems and real economy.
- **Prudent Valuation Adjustment (PVA).** A deduction from CET1 capital where the prudent value of trading assets or other financial assets measured at fair value is materially lower than the fair value recognised in the consolidated financial information.
- **Probability of Default (PD).** Estimate of the probability that an obligor will default over a one-year horizon.
- **Ratings Based Approach.** Under the ratings based method, the risk weighted exposure amount of a rated securitisation position or resecuritisation position are calculated by applying to the exposure value the risk weight associated with the credit quality step as prescribed in CRR multiplied by 1.06.
- **Regulatory Value-at-Risk (VaR).** The potential loss in value of trading positions due to adverse market movements over a 10-day time horizon with a 99% confidence level.
- **Regulatory VaR Backtesting.** Comparison of daily positional loss results to the Regulatory VaR measure calculated as of the end of the prior business day.
- **Resecuritisation Position.** Represents an on or off-balance-sheet transaction in which the risk associated with an underlying pool of exposures is tranching and at least one of the underlying exposures is a securitisation position.
- **Securitisation Position.** Represents a transaction or scheme in which the credit risk associated with an exposure or pool of exposures is tranching and both payments in the transaction or scheme are dependent upon the performance of the exposure or pool of exposures and the subordination of tranches determines the distribution of losses during the ongoing life of the transaction or scheme.
- **Specific Risk.** The risk of loss on a position that could result from factors other than broad market movements and includes event risk, default risk and idiosyncratic risk. The specific risk add-on is applicable for both securitisation positions and for certain non-securitised debt and equity positions, to supplement the model-based measures.
- **Stress Testing.** Stress testing is a method of determining the effect of various hypothetical stress scenarios.
- **Stressed VaR (SVaR).** The potential loss in value of inventory positions, as well as certain other financial assets and financial liabilities, during a period of significant market stress. SVaR is calculated at a 99% confidence level over a 10-day horizon using market data inputs from a continuous 12-month period of stress.
- **Synthetic Securitisation.** Defined as a securitisation transaction in which the tranching is achieved by the use of credit derivatives or guarantees, and the pool of exposures is not removed from the balance sheet of the originator.
- **Traditional Securitisation.** Defined as a securitisation transaction which involves the economic transfer of the exposures being securitised to a securitisation special purpose entity which issues securities; and so that this must be accomplished by the transfer of ownership of the securitised exposures from the originator or through sub-participation; and the securities issued do not represent payment obligations of the originator.
- **Value-at-Risk (VaR).** The potential loss in value of inventory positions, as well as certain other financial assets and financial liabilities, due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon with a specified confidence level. Risk management VaR is calculated at a 95% confidence level over a one-day horizon.
- **Wholesale Exposure.** A term used to refer collectively to credit exposures to companies, sovereigns or government entities (other than Securitisation, Retail or Equity exposures).

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Appendix I: Credit Risk Tables

Table 29: Credit Quality of Exposures by Exposure Class and Instrument

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of		Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write-offs	Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures ¹	Non-defaulted exposures					
1	Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ 29,644	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,644
2	Institutions	96	11,758	3	-	-	-	11,851
3	Corporates	99	12,183	22	-	-	-	12,260
3a	Non-credit obligation assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Equity	16	210	-	-	-	-	226
15	Total IRB approach	\$ 211	\$ 53,795	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 53,981
16	Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Institutions	-	297	-	-	-	-	297
22	Corporates	-	841	-	-	-	-	841
24	Retail	-	26	-	-	-	-	26
26	Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	133	-	-	-	-	133
28	Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Items associated with particularly high risk	-	1,131	-	-	-	-	1,131
33	Equity exposures	-	351	-	-	-	-	351
34	Other exposures	-	169	-	-	-	-	169
35	Total standardised approach	-	\$ 2,948	-	-	-	-	\$ 2,948
36	Total	\$ 211	\$ 56,743	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 56,929
37	Of which: Loans	46	8,036	21	-	-	-	8,061
38	Of which: Debt securities	123	1,189	-	-	-	-	1,312
39	Of which: Off- balance-sheet exposures	-	5,601	4	-	-	-	5,597

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of		Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write-offs	Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures ¹	Non-defaulted exposures					
1	Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ 19,916	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,916
2	Institutions	96	8,930	-	-	-	-	9,026
3	Corporates	86	2,940	-	-	-	-	3,026
3a	Non-credit obligation assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Equity	16	210	-	-	-	-	226
15	Total IRB approach	\$ 198	\$ 31,996	-	-	-	-	\$ 32,194
16	Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Corporates	-	443	-	-	-	-	443
24	Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
26	Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Items associated with particularly high risk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Equity exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	Other exposures	-	159	-	-	-	-	159
35	Total standardised approach	-	\$ 602	-	-	-	-	\$ 602
36	Total	\$ 198	\$ 32,598	-	-	-	-	\$ 32,796
37	Of which: Loans	33	1,810	-	-	-	-	1,843
38	Of which: Debt securities	123	982	-	-	-	-	1,105
39	Of which: Off- balance-sheet exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of				Credit risk adjustment charges of the period		Net values
		Defaulted exposures ¹	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write-offs		
1	Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ 9,728	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,728
2	Institutions	-	6,740	3	-	-	-	6,737
3	Corporates	13	10,318	22	-	-	-	10,309
3a	Non-credit obligation assets	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15	Total IRB approach	\$ 13	\$ 26,786	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 26,774
16	Central governments or central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Corporates	-	34	-	-	-	-	34
24	Retail	-	18	-	-	-	-	18
26	Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	87	-	-	-	-	87
28	Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
29	Items associated with particularly high risk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
33	Equity exposures	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34	Other exposures	-	10	-	-	-	-	10
35	Total standardised approach	-	\$ 149	-	-	-	-	\$ 149
36	Total	\$ 13	\$ 26,935	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 26,923
37	Of which: Loans	13	11,152	21	-	-	-	11,144
38	Of which: Debt securities	-	75	-	-	-	-	75
39	Of which: Off- balance-sheet exposures	-	5,601	4	-	-	-	5,597

¹ The defaulted exposures quantified in the tables above include positions where the obligor defaulted prior to our purchase of the position.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 30: Credit Quality of Exposures by Industry or Counterparty Types

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of					Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write-offs		
1	Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ 29,642	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 29,642
2	Services and other Industries	33	4,905	5	-	-	-	4,933
3	Banks	102	7,870	0	-	-	-	7,972
4	Other Financials	69	8,546	12	-	-	-	8,603
5	CCPs and Exchanges	-	603	-	-	-	-	603
6	Manufacturing	0	1,721	2	-	-	-	1,719
7	Transport, Utilities & Storage	7	2,106	3	-	-	-	2,110
8	Retail / Wholesale trade	-	542	2	-	-	-	540
9	Real Estate	-	808	1	-	-	-	807
10	Total	\$ 211	\$ 56,743	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 56,929

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of					Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write-offs		
1	Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ 19,916	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 19,916
2	Services and other Industries	33	1,471	-	-	-	-	1,504
3	Banks	102	7,197	-	-	-	-	7,299
4	Other Financials	58	3,338	-	-	-	-	3,396
5	CCPs and Exchanges	-	276	-	-	-	-	276
6	Manufacturing	0	0	-	-	-	-	0
7	Transport, Utilities & Storage	5	100	-	-	-	-	105
8	Retail / Wholesale trade	-	3	-	-	-	-	3
9	Real Estate	-	297	-	-	-	-	297
10	Total	\$ 198	\$ 32,598	-	-	-	-	\$ 32,796

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of					Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment	Accumulated write-offs		
1	Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ 9,726	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9,726
2	Services and other Industries	-	2,109	5	-	-	-	2,104
3	Banks	-	376	0	-	-	-	376
4	Other Financials	11	9,865	12	-	-	-	9,864
5	CCPs and Exchanges	-	327	-	-	-	-	327
6	Manufacturing	-	1,721	2	-	-	-	1,719
7	Transport, Utilities & Storage	2	1,876	3	-	-	-	1,875
8	Retail / Wholesale trade	-	463	2	-	-	-	461
9	Real Estate	-	472	1	-	-	-	471
10	Total	\$ 13	\$ 26,935	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 26,923

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 31: Credit Quality of Exposures by Geography

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of				Accumulated write-offs	Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment			
1	EMEA	\$ 190	\$ 48,130	\$ 21	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 48,299
2	Germany	-	18,584	2	-	-	-	18,582
3	United Kingdom	33	18,854	5	-	-	-	18,882
4	Other Countries	157	10,692	14	-	-	-	10,835
5	Asia	13	2,700	1	-	-	-	2,712
8	Americas	8	5,913	3	-	-	-	5,918
9	United States	-	5,157	0	-	-	-	5,157
10	Other Countries	8	756	3	-	-	-	761
12	Total	\$ 211	\$ 56,743	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 56,929

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of				Accumulated write-offs	Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment			
1	EMEA	\$ 177	\$ 25,785	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 25,962
2	Germany	-	11,295	-	-	-	-	11,295
3	United Kingdom	33	11,001	-	-	-	-	11,034
4	Other Countries	144	3,489	-	-	-	-	3,633
5	Asia	13	2,482	-	-	-	-	2,495
8	Americas	8	4,331	-	-	-	-	4,339
9	United States	-	3,973	-	-	-	-	3,973
10	Other Countries	8	358	-	-	-	-	366
12	Total	\$ 198	\$ 32,598	-	-	-	-	\$ 32,796

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

		Gross carrying values of				Accumulated write-offs	Credit risk adjustment charges of the period	Net values
		Defaulted exposures	Non-defaulted exposures	Specific credit risk adjustment	General credit risk adjustment			
1	EMEA	\$ 13	\$ 25,542	\$ 21	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	25,534
2	Germany	-	7,279	2	-	-	-	7,277
3	United Kingdom	-	11,580	5	-	-	-	11,575
4	Other Countries	13	6,683	14	-	-	-	6,682
5	Asia	-	218	1	-	-	-	217
8	Americas	-	1,175	3	-	-	-	1,172
9	United States	-	805	0	-	-	-	805
10	Other Countries	-	370	3	-	-	-	367
12	Total	\$ 13	\$ 26,935	\$ 25	-	-	-	\$ 26,923

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 32: Credit Quality of Forborne Exposures

GSGUK

\$ millions

May 2020

		Gross carrying amount of forborne exposures / Nominal amount				Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions		Collaterals received and financial guarantees received on forborne exposures	
		Performing forborne	Non-performing forborne		On performing forborne exposures	On non- performing forborne exposures		Of which: Collateral and financial guarantees received on non-performing exposures with forbearance measures	
			Of which defaulted	Of which impaired					
010	Loans and advances	\$ 18	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	
020	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
030	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
040	Credit institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
050	Other financial corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
060	Non-financial corporations	18	-	-	-	1	-	-	
070	Households	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
080	Debt Securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
090	Loan commitments given	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100	Total	\$ 18	-	-	-	\$ 1	-	-	

GSIB

\$ millions

May 2020

		Gross carrying amount of forborne exposures / Nominal amount				Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions		Collaterals received and financial guarantees received on forborne exposures	
		Performing forborne	Non-performing forborne		On performing forborne exposures	On non- performing forborne exposures		Of which: Collateral and financial guarantees received on non-performing exposures with forbearance measures	
			Of which defaulted	Of which impaired					
010	Loans and advances	\$ 18	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ -	
020	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
030	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
040	Credit institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
050	Other financial corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
060	Non-financial corporations	18	-	-	-	1	-	-	
070	Households	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
080	Debt Securities	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
090	Loan commitments given	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
100	Total	\$ 18	-	-	-	\$ 1	-	-	

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 33: Credit Quality of Performing and Non-performing Exposures by Past Due Days

GSGUK

\$ millions

May 2020

		Gross carrying amount / Nominal amount											
		Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures								
			Not past due or Past due <= 30 days	Past due > 30 days <= 90 days	Unlikely to pay that are not past-due or past-due <= 90 days	Past due > 90 days <= 180 days	Past due > 180 days <= 1 year	Past due > 1 year <= 2 years	Past due > 2 year <= 5 years	Past due > 5 year <= 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which defaulted	
010	Loans and advances	\$ 287,053	\$ 287,053	\$ -	\$ 69	\$ 13	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 56	\$ -	\$ 69
020	Central banks	3,650	3,650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030	General governments	3,551	3,551	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040	Credit institutions	24,278	24,278	-	56	-	-	-	-	-	56	-	56
050	Other financial corporations	249,098	249,098	-	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
060	Non-financial corporations	5,781	5,781	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
070	Of which SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080	Households	695	695	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090	Debt Securities	1,460	1,460	-	188	20	82	17	12	-	-	56	188
100	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110	General governments	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120	Credit institutions	181	181	-	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	52
130	Other financial corporations	814	814	-	70	12	58	-	-	-	-	-	70
140	Non-financial corporations	449	449	-	66	8	24	17	12	-	-	4	66
150	Off-balance sheet exposures	5,666		-									
160	Central banks	-		-									
170	General governments	-		-									
180	Credit institutions	81		-									
190	Other financial corporations	1,835		-									
200	Non-financial corporations	3,749		-									
210	Households	1		-									
220	Total	\$ 294,179	\$ 288,513	-	\$ 257	\$ 33	\$ 82	\$ 17	\$ 12	-	\$ 56	\$ 56	\$ 257

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSI

\$ millions

May 2020

		Gross carrying amount / Nominal amount											
		Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures								
			Not past due or Past due <= 30 days	Past due > 30 days <= 90 days	Unlikely to pay that are not past-due or past-due <= 90 days	Past due > 90 days <= 180 days	Past due > 180 days <= 1 year	Past due > 1 year <= 2 years	Past due > 2 year <= 5 years	Past due > 5 year <= 7 years	Past due > 7 years	Of which default-ed	
010	Loans and advances	\$ 260,226	\$ 260,226	\$ -	\$ 56	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 56	\$ -	\$ 56
020	Central banks	3,594	3,594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030	General governments	3,532	3,532	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040	Credit institutions	24,093	24,093	-	56	-	-	-	-	-	56	-	56
050	Other financial corporations	226,141	226,141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060	Non-financial corporations	2,737	2,737	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070	Of which SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080	Households	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090	Debt Securities	782	782	-	188	20	82	17	12	-	-	56	188
100	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110	General governments	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120	Credit institutions	181	181	-	52	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	52
130	Other financial corporations	254	254	-	70	12	58	-	-	-	-	-	70
140	Non-financial corporations	331	331	-	66	8	24	17	12	-	-	4	66
150	Off-balance sheet exposures	429	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
160	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
170	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
180	Credit institutions	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
190	Other financial corporations	348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
200	Non-financial corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
210	Households	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
220	Total	\$ 261,437	\$ 261,008	-	\$ 244	\$ 20	\$ 82	\$ 17	\$ 12	-	\$ 56	\$ 56	\$ 244

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSIB

\$ millions

May 2020

		Gross carrying amount / Nominal amount											Of which default-ed	
		Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures									
			Not past due or Past due <= 30 days	Past due > 30 days <= 90 days	Unlikely to pay that are not past-due or past-due <= 90 days	Past due > 90 days <= 180 days	Past due > 180 days <= 1 year	Past due > 1 year <= 2 years	Past due > 2 year <= 5 years	Past due > 5 year <= 7 years	Past due > 7 years			
010	Loans and advances	\$ 53,115	\$ 53,115	\$ -	\$ 13	\$ 13	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 13
020	Central banks	56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030	General governments	19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040	Credit institutions	251	251	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050	Other financial corporations	49,253	49,253	-	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11
060	Non-financial corporations	3,043	3,043	-	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
070	Of which SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080	Households	493	493	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090	Debt Securities	983	983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120	Credit institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130	Other financial corporations	983	983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140	Non-financial corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150	Off-balance sheet exposures	5,237												
160	Central banks	-												
170	General governments	-												
180	Credit institutions	-												
190	Other financial corporations	1,487												
200	Non-financial corporations	3,749												
210	Households	1												
220	Total	\$ 59,335	\$ 54,098	-	\$ 13	\$ 13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 13

Table 34: Performing and Non-performing Exposures and Related Provisions

GSGUK

\$ millions

May 2020

	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount						Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions						Accumulated partial write-off	Collateral and financial guarantees received		
	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures			Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions			Non-performing exposures – accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions				On performing exposures	On non-performing exposures	
		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3				
1	Loans and advances	\$ 287,053	\$ 286,867	\$ 186	\$ 69	\$ -	\$ 13	\$ 65	\$ 43	\$ 22	\$ 23	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 196,289	\$ -
2	Central banks	3,650	3,650	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,082	-
3	General governments	3,551	3,551	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,010	-
4	Credit institutions	24,278	24,278	-	56	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	10,056	-
5	Other financial corporations	249,098	249,028	70	11	-	11	23	21	2	-	-	-	-	180,027	-
6	Non-financial corporations	5,781	5,665	\$ 116	2	-	2	35	15	20	1	-	1	-	498	-
7	Of which SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Households	695	695	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	616	-
9	Debt securities	1,460	1,460	-	188	-	-	-	-	-	76	-	-	-	-	-
10	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	General governments	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Credit institutions	181	181	-	52	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
13	Other financial corporations	814	814	-	70	-	-	-	-	-	39	-	-	-	-	-
14	Non-financial corporations	449	449	-	66	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	-	-
15	Off-balance-sheet exposures	5,666	5,564	102	-	-	-	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Credit institutions	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Other financial corporations	1,835	1,835	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Non-financial corporations	3,749	3,647	102	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Households	1	1	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Total	\$ 294,179	\$ 293,891	\$ 288	\$ 257	-	\$ 13	\$ 68	\$ 45	\$ 23	\$ 99	-	\$ 1	-	\$ 196,289	-

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSI

														May 2020		
\$ millions																
	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount						Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions						Accumulated partial write-off	Collateral and financial guarantees received		
														Performing exposures	Non-performing exposures	Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions
	Of which stage 1		Of which stage 2		Of which stage 3		Of which stage 1		Of which stage 2		Of which stage 3					
1	Loans and advances	\$ 260,226	\$ 260,226	\$ -	\$ 56	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 174,260	\$ -
2	Central banks	3,594	3,594	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,082	-
3	General governments	3,532	3,532	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,010	-
4	Credit institutions	24,093	24,093	-	56	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	10,056	-
5	Other financial corporations	226,141	226,141	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	158,983	-
6	Non-financial corporations	2,737	2,737	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	70	-
7	Of which SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Households	129	129	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	59	-
9	Debt securities	782	782	-	188	-	-	-	-	-	76	-	-	-	-	-
10	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11	General governments	16	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
12	Credit institutions	181	181	-	52	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
13	Other financial corporations	254	254	-	70	-	-	-	-	-	39	-	-	-	-	-
14	Non-financial corporations	331	331	-	66	-	-	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	-	-
15	Off-balance-sheet exposures	429	429	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
17	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18	Credit institutions	81	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
19	Other financial corporations	348	348	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
20	Non-financial corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
21	Households	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
22	Total	\$ 261,437	\$ 261,437	-	\$ 244	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 98	-	-	-	\$ 174,260	-

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSIB

\$ millions

May 2020

	Gross carrying amount/nominal amount							Accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions						Accumulated partial write-off	Collateral and financial guarantees received	
	Performing exposures			Non-performing exposures				Performing exposures – accumulated impairment and provisions			Non-performing exposures – accumulated impairment, accumulated negative changes in fair value due to credit risk and provisions				On performing exposures	On non-performing exposures
		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3		Of which stage 1	Of which stage 2		Of which stage 2	Of which stage 3				
1	Loans and advances	\$ 53,115	\$ 52,929	\$ 186	\$ 13	\$ -	\$ 13	\$ 65	\$ 43	\$ 22	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 1	\$ -	\$ 43,520	\$ -
2	Central banks	\$ 56	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	General governments	\$ 19	19	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	Credit institutions	\$ 251	\$ 251	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Other financial corporations	\$ 49,253	49,183	\$ 70	11	-	11	35	21	2	-	-	-	42,606	-	
6	Non-financial corporations	\$ 3,043	2,927	\$ 116	2	-	2	23	15	20	1	-	1	428	-	
7	Of which SMEs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8	Households	\$ 493	493	-	-	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-	486	-	
9	Debt securities	983	983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
12	Credit institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
13	Other financial corporations	983	983	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
14	Non-financial corporations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
15	Off-balance-sheet exposures	5,237	5,135	102	-	-	-	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	
16	Central banks	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
17	General governments	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
18	Credit institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
19	Other financial corporations	1,487	1,487	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	
20	Non-financial corporations	3,749	3,647	102	-	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
21	Households	1	1	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	
22	Total	\$ 59,335	\$ 59,047	\$ 288	\$ 13	-	\$ 13	\$ 68	\$ 45	\$ 23	\$ 1	-	\$ 1	\$ 43,520	-	

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Table 35: Collateral Obtained by Taking Possession and Execution Processes****GSGUK**

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of May 2020	
	Collateral obtained by taking possession accumulated	
	Value at initial recognition	Accumulated negative changes
Property Plant and Equipment (PP&E)	\$ -	\$ -
Other than Property Plant and Equipment	3	3
<i>Residential immovable property</i>	-	-
<i>Commercial Immovable property</i>	-	-
<i>Movable property (auto, shipping, etc.)</i>	-	-
<i>Equity and debt instruments</i>	3	3
<i>Other</i>	-	-
Total	\$ 3	\$ 3

GSI

<i>\$ in millions</i>	As of May 2020	
	Collateral obtained by taking possession accumulated	
	Value at initial recognition	Accumulated negative changes
Property Plant and Equipment (PP&E)	\$ -	\$ -
Other than Property Plant and Equipment	3	3
<i>Residential immovable property</i>	-	-
<i>Commercial Immovable property</i>	-	-
<i>Movable property (auto, shipping, etc.)</i>	-	-
<i>Equity and debt instruments</i>	3	3
<i>Other</i>	-	-
Total	\$ 3	\$ 3

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Table 36: IRB (Equity Exposures Subject to the Simple Risk - Weighted Approach)¹****GSGUK**

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

Equities under the simple risk-weighted approach

Categories	On BS amount	Off BS amount	Risk Weight	Exposure Amount	RWAs	Capital Requirements
Exchange-traded equity exposures	\$ 104		290%	\$ 104	\$ 301	\$ 24
Other Equity Exposures	\$ 123		370%	\$ 123	\$ 454	\$ 36
Total	\$ 227			\$ 227	\$ 755	\$ 60

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

Equities under the simple risk-weighted approach

Categories	On BS amount	Off BS amount	Risk Weight	Exposure Amount	RWAs	Capital Requirements
Exchange-traded equity exposures	\$ 104		290%	\$ 104	\$ 301	\$ 24
Other Equity Exposures	\$ 123		370%	\$ 123	\$ 454	\$ 36
Total	\$ 227			\$ 227	\$ 755	\$ 60

1. GSGUK and its subsidiaries do not have private equity exposures which are risk-weighted at 190%.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 37: IRB Approach - Credit Risk Exposures by Exposure Class and PD Range

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

PD Scale	Original on - BS gross exposures	Off-BS exposures pre-CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of Obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average Maturity	RWAs	RWA density (%)	EL	Value Adjustments and provisions
Central governments or central banks												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 29,628	\$ -	-	\$ 29,628	0.02%	18	50.00%	1.00	\$ 1,723	6%	\$ 3	
0.15 to <0.25	0	-	-	0	0.18%	2	50.00%	0.00	0	33%	0	
0.25 to <0.50	0	-	-	0	0.26%	3	50.00%	0.00	0	42%	0	
0.50 to <0.75	0	-	-	0	0.66%	4	50.00%	0.00	0	71%	0	
0.75 to <2.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2.50 to <10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10.00 to <100.00	16	-	-	16	23.78%	4	50.00%	4.91	52	316%	2	
100.00 (Default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal	\$ 29,644	-	-	\$ 29,644	0.03%	31	50.00%	1.00	\$ 1,775	6%	\$ 5	\$ 0
Institutions												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 9,938	\$ 691	75%	\$ 10,564	0.06%	270	60.50%	1.24	\$ 2,124	20%	\$ 3	
0.15 to <0.25	350	175	75%	481	0.17%	40	63.74%	2.22	366	76%	0	
0.25 to <0.50	69	-	-	69	0.26%	9	65.49%	2.62	73	106%	0	
0.50 to <0.75	159	-	-	159	0.67%	11	68.98%	1.00	203	128%	1	
0.75 to <2.50	10	-	-	10	1.74%	7	65.33%	1.00	17	174%	0	
2.50 to <10.00	142	-	-	142	9.16%	10	59.01%	4.65	1,121	790%	8	
10.00 to <100.00	224	-	-	224	23.78%	18	66.14%	2.25	319	143%	35	
100.00 (Default)	96	-	-	96	100.00%	8	67.89%	3.42	267	278%	-	
Subtotal	\$ 10,988	\$ 866	75%	\$ 11,745	1.11%	373	60.82%	1.34	\$ 4,490	38%	\$ 47	\$ 3
Corporates												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 1,465	\$ 1,660	75%	\$ 2,712	0.05%	90	61.97%	2.44	\$ 844	31%	\$ 1	
0.15 to <0.25	457	898	75%	1,697	0.17%	79	60.58%	2.84	1,217	72%	2	
0.25 to <0.50	103	964	75%	992	0.26%	42	64.43%	2.85	865	87%	2	
0.50 to <0.75	849	636	75%	1,928	0.64%	107	59.94%	2.87	2,450	127%	7	
0.75 to <2.50	791	230	75%	1,499	1.82%	58	63.17%	2.87	2,738	183%	17	
2.50 to <10.00	2,402	330	75%	3,248	7.85%	165	56.09%	3.64	8,755	270%	144	
10.00 to <100.00	1,047	16	75%	1,111	23.78%	201	57.84%	2.41	3,835	345%	148	
100.00 (Default)	98	-	-	110	100.00%	22	62.90%	1.36	92	84%	-	
Subtotal	\$ 7,212	\$ 4,734	75%	\$ 13,297	4.70%	764	60.10%	2.91	\$ 20,796	156%	\$ 321	\$ 22
Total (all portfolios)	\$ 47,844	\$ 5,600	75%	\$ 54,686	1.44%	1,168	55.27%	1.55	\$ 27,061	49%	\$ 373	\$ 25

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

PD Scale	Original on - BS gross exposures	Off-BS exposures pre-CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of Obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average Maturity	RWAs	RWA density (%)	EL	Value Adjustments and provisions
Central governments or central banks												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 19,900	\$ -	-	\$ 19,900	0.02%	5	50.00%	1.0028	\$ 1,184	6%	\$ 2	
0.15 to <0.25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.25 to <0.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.50 to <0.75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.75 to <2.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2.50 to <10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10.00 to <100.00	16	-	-	16	23.78%	2	50.00%	5.0000	51	317%	2	
100.00 (Default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal	\$ 19,916	-	-	\$ 19,916	0.04%	7	50.00%	1.0061	\$ 1,235	6%	\$ 4	-
Institutions												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 8,166	-	-	\$ 8,166	0.06%	156	58.05%	1.0738	\$ 1,924	24%	\$ 3	
0.15 to <0.25	260	-	-	260	0.17%	23	65.72%	1.9350	191	73%	0	
0.25 to <0.50	67	-	-	67	0.26%	7	65.48%	2.6455	72	107%	0	
0.50 to <0.75	122	-	-	122	0.67%	8	68.88%	1.0027	156	128%	1	
0.75 to <2.50	10	-	-	10	1.74%	3	65.32%	1.0027	17	175%	0	
2.50 to <10.00	81	-	-	81	9.52%	7	65.61%	5.0000	955	1181%	5	
10.00 to <100.00	224	-	-	224	23.78%	16	66.14%	2.2509	319	142%	35	
100.00 (Default)	96	-	-	96	100.00%	8	67.89%	3.4231	267	278%	-	
Subtotal	9,026	-	-	9,026	1.81%	228	58.85%	1.1988	3,901	43%	\$ 44	-
Corporates												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 602	-	-	\$ 602	0.06%	40	64.68%	1.6331	\$ 173	29%	\$ 0	
0.15 to <0.25	294	-	-	860	0.17%	44	72.95%	2.0574	657	76%	1	
0.25 to <0.50	51	-	-	216	0.26%	13	86.68%	1.0827	200	93%	0	
0.50 to <0.75	210	-	-	810	0.64%	16	57.59%	2.2965	926	114%	3	
0.75 to <2.50	28	-	-	559	1.60%	6	80.09%	1.2821	1,111	199%	7	
2.50 to <10.00	739	-	-	1,328	8.59%	35	64.42%	2.9304	4,085	308%	74	
10.00 to <100.00	716	-	-	766	23.78%	125	58.75%	2.2654	2,764	361%	107	
100.00 (Default)	85	-	-	97	100.00%	20	64.62%	1.3097	64	67%	-	
Subtotal	\$ 2,725	-	-	\$ 5,238	7.81%	299	66.56%	2.16041	\$ 9,980	191%	\$ 192	-
Total (all portfolios)	31,667	-	-	34,180	1.70%	534	54.88%	1.234	15,116	44%	240	-

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

PD Scale	Original on - BS gross exposures	Off-BS exposures pre-CCF	Average CCF (%)	EAD post CRM and post CCF	Average PD (%)	Number of Obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average Maturity	RWAs	RWA density (%)	EL	Value Adjustments and provisions
Central governments or central banks												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 9,728	\$ -	-	\$ 9,728	0.02%	13	50.00%	1.00000	\$ 538	6%	\$ 1	
0.15 to <0.25	0	-	-	0	0.18%	2	50.00%	-	0	33%	0	
0.25 to <0.50	0	-	-	0	0.26%	3	50.00%	-	0	42%	0	
0.50 to <0.75	0	-	-	0	0.66%	4	50.00%	1.00000	0	71%	0	
0.75 to <2.50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2.50 to <10.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
10.00 to <100.00	0	-	-	0	23.78%	2	50.00%	1.00000	1	272%	0	
100.00 (Default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal	\$ 9,728	-	-	\$ 9,728	1.09%	24	50.00%	1.00000	\$ 539	6%	\$ 1	\$ 0
Institutions												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 5,684	\$ 691	75%	\$ 6,310	0.06%	114	63.66%	1.46597	\$ 200	3%	\$ 0	
0.15 to <0.25	90	175	75%	222	0.17%	17	61.42%	2.55998	175	79%	0	
0.25 to <0.50	1	-	-	1	0.26%	2	66.15%	1.00000	1	74%	0	
0.50 to <0.75	37	-	-	37	0.67%	3	69.33%	1.00000	48	129%	0	
0.75 to <2.50	0	-	-	0	1.75%	4	65.93%	1.00000	0	150%	0	
2.50 to <10.00	61	-	-	61	8.68%	3	50.27%	4.18020	166	271%	3	
10.00 to <100.00	0	-	-	0	23.78%	2	66.38%	1.00000	0	366%	0	
100.00 (Default)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Subtotal	\$ 5,873	\$ 866	75%	\$ 6,631	0.15%	145	63.50%	1.52495	\$ 590	9%	\$ 3	\$ 3
Corporates												
0.00 to <0.15	\$ 863	\$ 1,660	75%	\$ 2,109	0.05%	50	61.20%	2.67599	\$ 671	32%	\$ 1	
0.15 to <0.25	1,217	898	75%	1,891	0.17%	35	54.96%	3.19994	1,365	72%	2	
0.25 to <0.50	105	966	75%	830	0.26%	29	58.62%	3.31360	728	88%	1	
0.50 to <0.75	639	636	75%	1,118	0.63%	91	61.65%	3.29022	1,524	136%	4	
0.75 to <2.50	763	230	75%	940	1.96%	52	53.10%	3.80621	1,627	173%	10	
2.50 to <10.00	1,663	330	75%	1,921	7.34%	130	50.33%	4.12893	4,669	243%	71	
10.00 to <100.00	331	16	75%	345	23.78%	76	55.80%	2.72327	1,072	311%	41	
100.00 (Default)	13	-	-	13	100.00%	2	50.08%	2	28	215	-	
Subtotal	\$ 5,594	\$ 4,736	75%	\$ 9,167	2.92%	465	56.41%	3.33747	\$ 11,684	127%	\$ 130	\$ 22
Total (all portfolios)	\$ 21,195	\$ 5,602	75%	\$ 25,526	1.27%	634	55.81%	1.97599	\$ 12,813	50%	\$ 134	\$ 25

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Table 38: Exposure-Weighted Average LGD and PD by Geography**

		LGD			PD		
		EMEA	Americas	Asia	EMEA	Americas	Asia
GSGUK							
As of May 2020							
1	Central governments or central banks	50.00%	50.00%	50.35%	0.05%	0.46%	0.07%
2	Institutions	65.95%	56.24%	65.39%	0.74%	0.31%	0.11%
3	Corporates	64.79%	56.68%	65.56%	1.46%	0.87%	0.91%
GSI							
		LGD			PD		
		EMEA	Americas	Asia	EMEA	Americas	Asia
1	Central governments or central banks	50.00%	50.00%	50.35%	0.06%	0.46%	0.07%
2	Institutions	65.98%	56.69%	65.40%	0.90%	0.31%	0.11%
3	Corporates	66.16%	56.82%	65.69%	1.21%	0.78%	0.89%
GSIB							
		LGD			PD		
		EMEA	Americas	Asia	EMEA	Americas	Asia
1	Central governments or central banks	50.00%	50.00%	50.00%	0.02%	0.39%	22.57%
2	Institutions	65.84%	43.00%	64.52%	0.15%	0.06%	0.06%
3	Corporates	57.00%	50.65%	59.96%	2.87%	4.36%	1.63%

Pillar 3 Disclosures**Table 39: Standardised Approach - Credit Risk Exposure and CRM Effects****GSGUK**

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

Exposure classes	Exposures before CCF and CRM		Exposures post CCF and CRM		RWAs and RWA density	
	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	RWAs	RWA density
1 Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
6 Institutions	297	-	297	-	59	20%
7 Corporates	841	-	841	-	826	98%
8 Retail	26	-	26	-	20	78%
9 Secured by mortgages on immovable property	133	-	133	-	51	38%
10 Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Higher-risk categories	1,131	-	1,131	-	1,696	150%
15 Equity	351	-	351	-	347	99%
16 Other items	169	-	169	-	238	141%
17 Total	\$ 2,948	-	\$ 2,948	-	\$ 3,237	110%

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

Exposure classes	Exposures before CCF and CRM		Exposures post CCF and CRM		RWAs and RWA density	
	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	RWAs	RWA density
1 Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
6 Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Corporates	443	-	443	-	443	100%
8 Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 Other items	159	-	159	-	228	143%
17 Total	\$ 602	-	\$ 602	-	\$ 671	144%

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

Exposure classes	Exposures before CCF and CRM		Exposures post CCF and CRM		RWAs and RWA density	
	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	On-balance-sheet amount	Off-balance-sheet amount	RWAs	RWA density
1 Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	-
6 Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Corporates	34	-	34	-	34	100%
8 Retail	18	-	18	-	14	78%
9 Secured by mortgages on immovable property	87	-	87	-	35	40%
10 Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 Other items	\$ 10	-	\$ 10	-	\$ 10	100%
17 Total	\$ 149	-	\$ 149	-	\$ 93	62%

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Table 40: Standardised Approach

GSGUK

\$ in millions As of May 2020

Exposure classes	Risk weight								Total	Of which unrated	
	0%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	250%			
1 Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6 Institutions	-	297	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	297	-
7 Corporates	-	-	-	32	-	809	-	-	-	841	809
8 Retail	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	25	25
9 Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	127	-	-	-	-	-	-	127	127
10 Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	6	1	-	-	7	7
11 Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,131	-	-	1,131	1,131
15 Equity	-	-	-	8	-	343	-	-	-	351	351
16 Other items	-	-	-	-	-	123	-	46	-	169	169
17 Total	-	\$ 297	\$ 127	\$ 40	\$ 25	\$ 1,281	\$ 1,132	\$ 46	-	\$ 2,948	\$ 2,619

GSI

\$ in millions As of May 2020

Exposure classes	Risk weight								Total	Of which unrated	
	0%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	250%			
1 Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6 Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	443	-	-	-	443	443
8 Retail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9 Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
11 Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 Other items	-	-	-	-	-	113	-	46	-	159	159
17 Total	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 556	-	\$ 46	-	\$ 602	\$ 602

GSIB

\$ in millions As of May 2020

Exposure classes	Risk weight								Total	Of which unrated	
	0%	20%	35%	50%	75%	100%	150%	250%			
1 Central governments or central banks	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
6 Institutions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7 Corporates	-	-	-	-	-	34	-	-	-	34	34
8 Retail	-	-	-	-	17	-	-	-	-	17	17
9 Secured by mortgages on immovable property	-	-	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	81	81
10 Exposures in default	-	-	-	-	-	6	1	-	-	7	7
11 Higher-risk categories	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
15 Equity	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
16 Other items	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	10	10
17 Total	-	-	\$ 81	-	\$ 17	\$ 50	\$ 1	-	-	\$ 149	\$ 149

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Appendix II: Counterparty Credit Risk Tables

Table 41: IRB Approach - CCR Exposures by Portfolio and PD Scale

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	PD Scale	EAD post CRM	Average PD (%)	Number of Obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average Maturity	RWAs	RWA density (%)
Sovereign								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 14,391	0.02%	189	50.13%	2.34	\$ 1,551	11%
	0.15 to <0.25	2,449	0.18%	18	50.00%	0.07	568	23%
	0.25 to <0.50	3,322	0.26%	20	50.00%	3.42	2,336	70%
	0.50 to <0.75	71	0.63%	22	50.00%	0.61	45	64%
	0.75 to <2.50	75	2.37%	1	50.00%	5.00	135	179%
	2.50 to <10.00	8	6.71%	10	50.00%	1.00	13	174%
	10.00 to <100.00	15	23.78%	3	50.00%	1.00	42	272%
	100.00 (Default)	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	-	0%
	Subtotal	\$ 20,332	0.47%	263	50.09%	1.26	\$ 4,690	23%
Institutions								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 39,589	0.05%	5,499	62.51%	2.46	\$ 11,975	30%
	0.15 to <0.25	9,464	0.17%	1,635	65.24%	1.53	4,989	53%
	0.25 to <0.50	2,581	0.27%	1,134	65.86%	1.88	2,177	84%
	0.50 to <0.75	3,643	0.66%	908	65.58%	1.82	3,605	99%
	0.75 to <2.50	1,953	1.71%	2,792	66.08%	1.23	3,396	174%
	2.50 to <10.00	534	6.75%	214	69.24%	1.48	1,477	277%
	10.00 to <100.00	105	23.78%	273	64.43%	0.95	392	372%
	100.00 (Default)	3	100%	7	65.61%	1.00	2	70%
	Subtotal	\$ 57,873	0.29%	12,462	63.49%	2.19	\$ 28,012	48%
Corporates								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 39,569	0.05%	3,230	60.55%	2.26	\$ 12,947	33%
	0.15 to <0.25	5,502	0.18%	1,017	64.01%	2.58	3,905	71%
	0.25 to <0.50	3,151	0.26%	682	62.92%	1.95	2,454	78%
	0.50 to <0.75	3,173	0.65%	1,338	66.14%	1.80	3,946	124%
	0.75 to <2.50	3,321	1.68%	2,526	71.99%	1.63	6,392	192%
	2.50 to <10.00	1,047	8.05%	896	70.05%	1.74	3,241	310%
	10.00 to <100.00	1,055	23.78%	829	62.32%	1.70	3,798	360%
	100.00 (Default)	1	100%	7	66.13%	1.00	3	198%
	Subtotal	\$ 56,819	0.79%	10,525	62.20%	2.19	\$ 36,686	65%
	Total (all portfolios)	\$ 135,024	0.47%	\$ 23,250	60.93%	1.26	\$ 69,389	51%

Pillar 3 Disclosures

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	PD Scale	EAD post CRM	Average PD (%)	Number of Obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average Maturity	RWAs	RWA density (%)
Sovereign								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 14,391	0.02%	189	50.13%	2.34	\$ 1,551	11%
	0.15 to <0.25	2,449	0.18%	18	50.00%	0.07	568	23%
	0.25 to <0.50	3,322	0.26%	20	50.00%	3.42	2,336	70%
	0.50 to <0.75	71	0.63%	22	50.00%	0.61	45	64%
	0.75 to <2.50	75	2.37%	1	50.00%	5.00	135	179%
	2.50 to <10.00	8	6.71%	10	50.00%	1.00	13	174%
	10.00 to <100.00	15	23.78%	3	50.00%	1.00	42	272%
	100.00 (Default)	-	0.00%	-	0.00%	-	-	0%
	Subtotal	\$ 20,332	0.11%	263	50.09%	2.25	\$ 4,690	23%
Institutions								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 38,200	0.05%	5,441	62.42%	1.86	\$ 11,879	31%
	0.15 to <0.25	9,389	0.17%	1,618	65.24%	1.52	4,929	52%
	0.25 to <0.50	2,561	0.27%	1,129	65.86%	1.85	2,166	85%
	0.50 to <0.75	3,641	0.66%	903	65.58%	1.82	3,602	99%
	0.75 to <2.50	1,953	1.71%	2,789	66.08%	1.23	3,395	174%
	2.50 to <10.00	534	6.75%	214	69.24%	1.48	1,477	277%
	10.00 to <100.00	104	23.78%	271	64.40%	0.95	387	372%
	100.00 (Default)	3	99.90%	7	65.61%	1.00	2	70%
	Subtotal	\$ 56,386	0.29%	12,372	63.45%	1.78	\$ 27,837	49%
Corporates								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 39,520	0.05%	3,209	60.56%	2.26	\$ 12,930	33%
	0.15 to <0.25	5,375	0.18%	797	63.66%	2.60	3,832	71%
	0.25 to <0.50	3,061	0.26%	670	62.80%	1.87	2,315	76%
	0.50 to <0.75	3,138	0.65%	1,329	66.14%	1.81	3,906	124%
	0.75 to <2.50	3,320	1.68%	2,522	71.99%	1.63	6,391	192%
	2.50 to <10.00	1,017	8.11%	891	70.47%	1.71	3,167	311%
	10.00 to <100.00	1,040	23.78%	799	62.27%	1.68	3,740	360%
	100.00 (Default)	1	99.90%	7	66.13%	1.00	3	198%
	Subtotal	\$ 56,473	0.79%	10,224	62.17%	2.19	\$ 36,284	64%
	Total (all portfolios)	\$ 133,191	0.48%	22,859	60.86%	2.02	\$ 68,811	57%

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	PD Scale	EAD post CRM	Average PD (%)	Number of Obligors	Average LGD (%)	Average Maturity	RWAs	RWA density (%)
Sovereign								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ -	-	-	0%	-	\$ -	-
	0.15 to <0.25	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
	0.25 to <0.50	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
	0.50 to <0.75	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
	0.75 to <2.50	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
	2.50 to <10.00	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
	10.00 to <100.00	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
	100.00 (Default)	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
	Subtotal	-	-	-	0%	-	-	-
Institutions								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 1,389	0.06%	58	65%	18.84	\$ 96	7%
	0.15 to <0.25	75	0.18%	17	66%	3.03	60	80%
	0.25 to <0.50	20	0.26%	5	66%	5.15	11	58%
	0.50 to <0.75	2	0.66%	5	66%	1.00	3	118%
	0.75 to <2.50	0	1.56%	3	66%	1.00	0	0%
	2.50 to <10.00	-	0.00%	-	0%	-	-	0%
	10.00 to <100.00	1	23.78%	2	66%	1.00	5	405%
	100.00 (Default)	-	0.00%	-	0%	-	-	0%
	Subtotal	\$ 1,487	0.09%	90	65%	17.82	\$ 175	12%
Corporates								
	0.00 to <0.15	\$ 49	0.05%	21	54%	2.49	\$ 16	34%
	0.15 to <0.25	127	0.17%	220	79%	1.66	74	58%
	0.25 to <0.50	90	0.26%	12	67%	4.89	139	154%
	0.50 to <0.75	35	0.66%	9	66%	1.06	40	115%
	0.75 to <2.50	0	2.36%	4	66%	1.00	1	195%
	2.50 to <10.00	29	6.23%	5	55%	2.76	75	254%
	10.00 to <100.00	15	23.78%	30	65%	2.86	58	374%
	100.00 (Default)	-	0.00%	-	0%	-	-	0%
	Subtotal	\$ 346	1.80%	301	68%	2.70	\$ 402	116%
	Total (all portfolios)	\$ 1,833	0.41%	391	66%	14.97	\$ 577	32%

Table 42: Impact of Netting and Collateral Held on Exposure Values¹

GSGUK

\$ in millions As of May 2020

	Gross positive fair value or net carrying amount	Netting benefits	Netted current credit exposure	Collateral held	Net credit exposure ²
1 Derivatives	\$ 946,147	\$ 853,712	\$ 92,435	\$ 143,982	\$ 46,186
2 SFTs	273,414	75,899	197,515	252,573	16,684
4 Total	\$ 1,219,561	\$ 929,611	\$ 289,950	\$ 396,555	\$ 62,870

GSI

\$ in millions As of May 2020

	Gross positive fair value or net carrying amount	Netting benefits	Netted current credit exposure	Collateral held	Net credit exposure ²
1 Derivatives	\$ 945,124	\$ 854,181	\$ 90,943	\$ 142,781	\$ 45,271
2 SFTs	254,186	75,899	178,287	407,468	17,435
4 Total	\$ 1,199,310	\$ 930,080	\$ 269,230	\$ 550,249	\$ 62,706

GSIB

\$ in millions As of May 2020

	Gross positive fair value or net carrying amount	Netting benefits	Netted current credit exposure	Collateral held	Net credit exposure ²
1 Derivatives	\$ 2,500	\$ 783	\$ 1,716	\$ 1,201	\$ 1,005
2 SFTs	45,381	-	\$ 45,381	44,644	36
4 Total	\$ 47,881	\$ 783	\$ 47,097	\$ 45,845	\$ 1,041

¹ GSGUK and its subsidiaries do not have cross-product netting where both derivatives and SFTs are netted at a counterparty level.

² Net credit exposure for derivatives and SFTs represents the current exposure component of the modelled EAD, and takes into account legally enforceable collateral received.

Table 43: Composition of Collateral for Exposures to CCR

GSGUK

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	Collateral used in derivative transactions				Collateral used in SFTs	
	Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral		Fair value of collateral received	Fair value of posted collateral
	Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated		
Sovereign	\$ 15,777	\$ 19,067	\$ 8,632	\$ 15,303	\$ 193,390	\$ 124,323
Equities	11,459	304	157	3	46,201	71,005
Corporate Bonds	1,298	1,918	416	643	7,596	8,004
Cash	20,841	72,643	4,269	74,858	654	374
Other	605	70	18	288	4,732	9,677
Total	\$ 49,980	\$ 94,002	\$ 13,492	\$ 91,095	\$ 252,573	\$ 213,383

GSI

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	Collateral used in derivative transactions				Collateral used in SFTs	
	Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral		Fair value of collateral received	Fair value of posted collateral
	Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated		
Sovereign	\$ 15,672	\$ 19,067	\$ 8,627	\$ 15,303	\$ 278,250	\$ 218,454
Equities	10,627	304	157	3	107,759	115,349
Corporate Bonds	1,289	1,918	416	643	11,536	16,948
Cash	20,811	72,418	4,269	74,858	675	374
Other	605	70	18	288	9,248	14,135
Total	\$ 49,004	\$ 93,777	\$ 13,487	\$ 91,095	\$ 407,468	\$ 365,260

GSIB

\$ in millions

As of May 2020

	Collateral used in derivative transactions				Collateral used in SFTs	
	Fair value of collateral received		Fair value of posted collateral		Fair value of collateral received	Fair value of posted collateral
	Segregated	Unsegregated	Segregated	Unsegregated		
Sovereign	\$ 105	\$ -	\$ 5	\$ -	\$ 11,090	\$ 669
Equities	832	-	-	-	\$ 11,075	-
Corporate Bonds	9	-	-	-	\$ 20,500	-
Cash	30	225	-	377	\$ 77	98
Other	-	-	-	-	\$ 1,902	-
Total	\$ 976	\$ 225	\$ 5	\$ 377	\$ 44,644	\$ 767

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Appendix III: Past Due Exposures, Impaired Exposures and Impairment Provisions Tables

Table 44: Changes in the Stock of General and Specific Credit Risk Adjustments¹

\$ in millions

	As of May 2020			
	Accumulated specific credit risk adjustment		Accumulated general credit risk adjustment	
	GSGUK	GSIB	GSGUK	GSIB
1 Opening balance as of 30 th November 2019	\$ 25	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ -
2 Increases due to amounts set aside for estimated loan losses during the period	-	-	-	-
3 Decreases due to amounts reversed for estimated loan losses during the period	-	-	-	-
6 Impact of exchange rate differences	-	-	-	-
8a Position and valuation changes	-	-	-	-
9 Closing balance as of 31 st May 2020	\$ 25	\$ 25	\$ -	\$ -

¹ Changes in specific credit risk adjustment are due to position and valuation changes rather than changes in amounts set aside for estimated loan losses, transfers between credit risk adjustments, exchange rate differences or business combinations (such as acquisitions and disposals of subsidiaries). Opening and closing balances are unchanged as they exclude the current year unaudited provisions increase of \$30m in accordance with Article 1 of the Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 183/2014 as this increase has not been deducted from CET1.

Table 45: Changes in the Stock of Defaulted and Impaired Loans and Debt Securities¹

\$ in millions

	As of May 2020		
	Gross carrying value defaulted exposures		
	GSGUK	GSI	GSIB
1 Opening balance as of 30 th November 2019	\$ 129	\$ 129	\$ -
2 Loans and debt securities that have defaulted or impaired since the last reporting period	50	37	13
5 Other changes	(10)	(10)	-
6 Closing balance as of 31 st May 2020	\$ 169	\$ 156	\$ 13

¹ There were no defaulted or impaired loans and debt securities written off or returned to non-defaulted status during the period.

Pillar 3 Disclosures

Appendix IV: Index of Tables to EBA Templates

Table	EBA Template	Full name	Page
N/A	Template 1 ¹	EU LI1 - Differences between accounting and regulatory scopes of consolidation and the mapping of financial statement categories with regulatory risk categories	N/A
N/A	Template 2 ¹	EU L12 - Main sources of differences between regulatory exposure amounts and carrying values in financial statements	N/A
N/A	Template 3 ¹	EU L13 - Outline of the differences in the scopes of consolidation (entity by entity)	N/A
7	Template 4	EU OV1 - Overview of RWAs	11-12
36	Template 5 ²	EU CR10 - IRB (specialised lending and equities)	56
N/A	Template 6 ³	EU INS1 - Non-deducted participations in insurance undertakings	N/A
N/A	Template 7 ¹	EU CRB-B - Total and average net amount of exposures	N/A
N/A	Template 8 ¹	EU CRB-C - Geographical breakdown of exposures	N/A
N/A	Template 9 ¹	EU CRB-D - Concentration of exposures by industry or counterparty types	N/A
N/A	Template 10 ¹	EU CRB-E - Maturity of exposures	N/A
29	Template 11	EU CR1-A - Credit quality of exposures by exposure class and instrument	44-45
30	Template 12	EU CR1-B - Credit quality of exposures by industry or counterparty types	46
31	Template 13	EU CR1-C - Credit quality of exposures by geography	47
N/A	Template 14	EU CR1-D - Ageing of past-due exposures	N/A
N/A	Template 15	EU CR1-E - Non-performing and forborne exposures	N/A
44	Template 16	EU CR2-A - Changes in the stock of general and specific credit risk adjustments	68
45	Template 17	EU CR2-B - Changes in the stock of defaulted and impaired loans and debt securities	68
13	Template 18	EU CR3 - CRM techniques - Overview	19
39	Template 19	EU CR4 - Standardised approach - Credit risk exposure and CRM effects	61
40	Template 20	EU CR5 - Standardised approach	62
37	Template 21	EU CR6 - IRB approach - Credit risk exposures by exposure class and PD range	57-59
14	Template 22	EU CR7 - IRB approach - Effect on the RWAs of credit derivatives used as CRM techniques	20
12	Template 23	EU CR8 - RWA flow statements of credit risk exposures under the IRB approach	18
N/A	Template 24 ¹	EU CR9 - IRB approach – Backtesting of PD per exposure class	N/A
8	Template 25	EU CCR1 - Analysis of CCR exposure by approach	16
10	Template 26	EU CCR2 - CVA VaR capital charge	17
9	Template 27	EU CCR8 - Exposures to CCPs	17
N/A	Template 28 ⁴	EU CCR3 - Standardised approach - CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk	N/A
41	Template 29	EU CCR4 - IRB approach - CCR exposures by portfolio and PD scale	63-65
11	Template 30	EU CCR7 - RWA flow statements of CCR exposures under the IMM	17
42	Template 31	EU CCR5-A - Impact of netting and collateral held on exposure values	66
43	Template 32	EU CCR5-B - Composition of collateral for exposures to CCR	67
15	Template 33	EU CCR6 - Credit derivatives exposures	20
20	Template 34	EU MR1 - Market risk under the standardised approach	28
17	Template 35	EU MR2-A - Market Risk under the IMA	25
18	Template 36	EU MR2-B- RWA flow statements of market risk exposures under the IMA	26
16	Template 37	EU MR3 - IMA values for trading portfolios	25
19	Template 38	EU MR4 - Comparison of VaR estimates with gains/losses	27

1. Template 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 24 have not been disclosed as they are required annually. Please refer to the Q4 2019 disclosures.
2. The specialised lending section of Template 5 (IRB (specialised lending and equities)) has not been disclosed as GSGUK and its subsidiaries does not have specialised lending exposure.
3. Template 6 (Non-deducted participation in insurance undertakings) has not been disclosed as GSGUK and its subsidiaries do not have material holdings of own funds instruments of an insurance undertaking, re-insurance undertaking or an insurance holding company.
4. Template 14 and 15 have been replaced by Table 32 – 35 per EBA/GL/2018/10 (Final guidelines on disclosure of non-performing and forborne exposures).
5. Template 28 (Standardised approach – CCR exposures by regulatory portfolio and risk) has not been disclosed as the material entities within GSGUK have regulatory permission from the PRA to compute risk weights in accordance with the AIRB approach. As a result, CCR exposures outside of these entities that are subject to the Standardised approach are deemed to be immaterial. The CCR exposure class, institutions, represents less than 5% of the total CCR exposure.